A New Stability Analysis Method for DC to DC Series Resonant Converters

Abstract
In this note we present a novel stability analysis method for DC to DC series resonant converters which relies on the phase plane technique. This method gives more insight into the rationale behind the stability conditions because these are obtained from geometrical considerations instead of eigenvalues of linear discrete time approximations as presented in previous works. The proposed method allows to understand easily how the performance is affected as the location of the operating point changes on the phase plane which is not an easy task when previously proposed methods are used. We show how to use numerical computations, based on this stability analysis method, to determine graphically the region of the phase plane where asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist. Further, a nice geometrical condition is formulated to achieve the fastest convergence rate to the closed trajectory under study.
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1 Introduction

The phase plane technique has shown to be a powerful tool for analysis and design of DC to DC series resonant converters since it was introduced by Oruganti and Lee (1985). Based on these ideas an optimal trajectory controller was presented by Oruganti and Lee (1985) and Oruganti et al. (1988) which transfers the converter from one operating point to another in a minimal interval of time. Further, two innovative controllers were presented by Kim et al. (1991) and Kim and Youn (1991) which define, respectively, a line and an ellipse on the phase plane as the switching condition used to decide when to turn off the power switches and start conducting the antiparallel diodes. Local stability of the operating point is established, in both of these controllers, through the eigenvalues of the linear discrete time approximation of the converter dynamical equations. It is also shown that the eigenvalue locations are functions of the slope of the line used as the switching condition (Kim et al., 1991) and a parameter related to the shape factor of the ellipse used by Kim and Youn (1991). We stress that such shape factor determines the slope of the line tangent to the ellipse at the operating point. Further, it is found that zero eigenvalues is the condition to obtain the optimal behaviour introduced by Oruganti and Lee (1985) and Oruganti et al. (1988). However, an important drawback of this approach is the fact that a linear approximation does not give a clear idea about the region of the plane where stability is ensured. Further, this approach may result in a tedious procedure which is
preferred to be avoided by some authors. For instance, a line is also used by Rosseto (1996) as the switching condition, which means that the methodology introduced by Kim et al. (1991) can be used in this case, however it is preferred to use simulations in order to study the performance of the proposed controller. Moreover, because of similar reasons, the approach introduced by Kim et al. (1991) and Kim and Youn (1991) does not provide an easy manner to understand how the performance is affected as the location of the operating point changes on the phase plane. In the present note we introduce a new stability analysis method for DC to DC series resonant converters which does not require any linear discrete time approximation but just relies on geometrical considerations providing a clearer rationale behind the stability result. The only required knowledge about the converter dynamics is the well known fact that evolution is described by circles centered at specific points determined by the DC power supply and the output voltage (Oruganti and Lee, 1985; Oruganti et al., 1988; Kim et al., 1991; Kim and Youn, 1991; Rosseto, 1996). The stability analysis method introduced in this note has the following advantages, which represent our main contribution: 1) it allows to develop a graphical method to determine the region of the phase plane where asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist, 2) it allows to formulate a nice geometrical condition to obtain the performance described by Kim et al. (1991) and Kim and Youn (1991) in terms of zero eigenvalues which corresponds to the optimal behaviour introduced by Oruganti and Lee (1985) and Oruganti et al. (1988), 3) it is a simple and clear tool to understand how performance is affected as the location of the operating point changes on the phase plane and 4) it is useful to study the stability and the performance of several previously proposed controllers which also define lines as the switching conditions such as the classical capacitor-voltage controller and controller introduced by Sira-Ramírez and Silva-Ortigoza (2002). This note is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall some important features of the converter evolution on the phase plane. In section 3 we develop our stability analysis method whereas some relations are introduced in section 4 which are useful to use our method together with numerical computations. Some application examples are presented in section 5 and some concluding remarks are given in section 6. Finally, along this note we use symbols $|\cdot|$ and $||\cdot||$, respectively, to represent the absolute value function and the Euclidean norm of a vector.

2 Phase plane evolution

The simplified circuit of a DC to DC series resonant converter is shown in fig. 1, where $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4$ represent power switches, $D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4$ represent antiparallel diodes, $L$ and $C$ are, respectively, the resonant inductance and the resonant capacitance, $C_0$ is the output filter capacitance, $R$ is the load resistance, $v$ and $i$ are, respectively, the resonant voltage and the resonant electric current, $v_0$ is the output voltage and $\varepsilon$ is the constant voltage of the DC power supply. The following normalized variables are equivalent to those used by Oruganti and Lee (1985), Kim et al. (1991), Kim and Youn (1991), Rosseto (1996):

$$
\begin{align*}
    z_1 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{LC}} t, \\
    z_2 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v, \\
    z_3 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v_0, \\
    \tau &= \frac{t}{\sqrt{LC}},
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

where $t$ represents time in seconds. The normalized model of a DC to DC series resonant converter is given as (Stankovic, 1999; Sira-Ramírez and Silva-Ortigoza, 2002):

$$
\begin{align*}
    \dot{z}_1 &= -z_2 - z_3 \text{sign}(z_1) + u \\
    \dot{z}_2 &= z_1 \\
    \alpha \dot{z}_3 &= |z_1| - Q z_3
\end{align*}
$$

(2)(3)(4)

where, with some abuse of notation, the “\text{sign}\” represents the derivative with respect to the normalized time $\tau$. Variable $u$ is the normalized input restricted to take only two values \{-1, +1\}. We define parameters $Q^{-1} = R\sqrt{C/L}$ and
\[ \alpha = \frac{C_o}{C} \]. Note that \( Q \) is the so-called quality factor of the converter. Similarly to several previous works (Oruganti and Lee, 1985; Kim et al., 1991; Kim and Youn, 1991; Rosseto, 1996) we consider the following assumptions:

**A1.** \( \alpha = \frac{C_o}{C} \) is large, i.e. the output voltage dynamics (4) is very slow compared to the resonant dynamics (2), (3). This means that the output voltage, \( z_3 \), can be considered to remain constant during several oscillations of the resonant variables \( z_1, z_2 \).

**A2.** The quality factor is large, i.e. we assume lossless operation.

Hence, using assumptions A1, A2 and (1) we find that the converter dynamics (2), (3), (4) can be represented by the piecewise linear system:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{z}_1 \\
\dot{z}_2
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
z_1 \\
z_2
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} v_c
\]

(5)

which is also used by Oruganti and Lee (1985), Kim et al. (1991), Kim and Youn (1991), Rosseto (1996), and it is defined in the following four regions:

1. \( D_1, D_3 \) conduct: \( v_e = 1 + z_3, u = +1 \).
2. \( Q_1, Q_3 \) conduct: \( v_e = 1 - z_3, u = +1 \).
3. \( D_2, D_4 \) conduct: \( v_e = (1 + z_3), u = -1 \).
4. \( Q_2, Q_4 \) conduct: \( v_e = (1 - z_3), u = -1 \).

Solution of (5) shows that the resonant variables evolution is described in the \( z_1-z_2 \) plane by concentric circles whose centers are defined in each region at points given as \( (z_2, z_1) = (v_e, 0) \) (Oruganti and Lee, 1985; Kim et al., 1991; Kim and Youn, 1991; Rosseto, 1996). Finally, let us recall a result presented by Oruganti and Lee (1985) for above resonance operation. Given any output voltage in the range \( 0 < z_3 < 1 \) a closed trajectory exists for each frequency, load current and tank energy level. Further, the switching boundary between power switch conduction and antiparallel diode conduction is defined by:

\[
z_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{(z_2 + z_3 + z_3^2)^2}{z_2^2} - (z_2 + 1 + z_3)^2}
\]

(6)
where “+” stands on the first quadrant whereas “-” stands on the third quadrant. Moreover, these curves either i) become the (vertical) $z_1$ axis as $z_3$ approaches to zero or ii) become the (horizontal) $z_2$ axis as $z_3$ approaches to unity.

3 A new stability analysis method

Consider the following switching rule for above resonance operation. $Q_1$, $Q_3$ are turned on when $z_1$ becomes positive, $Q_2$, $Q_4$ are turned on when $z_1$ becomes negative, $D_2$, $D_4$ start conducting when the line $h_1'$: $z_1 = m_z z_2 + V$ defined on the first quadrant, is hit and $D_3$, $D_1$ start conducting when the line $h_3'$: $z_1 = m_z z_2 - V$, defined on the third quadrant, is hit. We stress that $V > 0$ and $m$ are constants. In fig. 2 a steady state closed trajectory $\Gamma'$ is shown. Consider the set of initial conditions given as the infinitesimal segment $dx(k)$ on the -$z_2$ axis. Let $dy$ represent the segment on the axis +$z_2$ which is hit after the phase flow starting at $dx(k)$ abandons $h_1'$ and let $dx(k+1)$ be the segment hit on the axis -$z_2$ once an oscillation is completed. Note that these segments are oriented outwards the closed trajectory $\Gamma'$. Recall that system evolution is described by circles centered at $(z_2, z_1) = (v_e, 0)$. This implies that the width of the phase flow, $dx(k)$, remains constant until $h_1'$ is reached and the phase flow width $dy$ remains constant since $h_1'$ is abandoned until axis +$z_2$ is hit. We obtain similar conclusions for the phase flow on the third and fourth quadrants. $B_{1Q}$ and $B_{1D}$ are the directions of the middle line of the phase flow when $h_1'$ is reached and when $h_1'$ is abandoned, respectively, whereas $O_p$ represents the operating point on either $h_1'$ or $h_3'$. In fig. 3 a steady state closed trajectory $\Gamma'$ is shown when different switching conditions $h_1$ and $h_3$ are used. In fig. 4 we show a detail of the conditions at line $h_1$ for the case of fig. 3. We stress that $da$ represents a vector perpendicular to line $h_1$ and $da = ||da||$ represents the length of the segment of $h_1$ which is hit by $dx(k)$. Using geometric considerations we realize that $dx(k)$ and $dy$ are related through:

$$dy = \frac{\cos \delta_1}{\cos \theta_1} dx(k), \quad dx(k) \rightarrow 0, \quad dy \rightarrow 0$$

as long as $dx(k)$ and $dy$ are small. Subindex “1” means that switching occurs on the first quadrant. From figs. 3 and 4 we realize that $\delta_1 > \theta_1$. From fig. 5 we can see several interesting facts (we assume that $-90^\circ < \theta_1 < 90^\circ$):

C1. $\left| \frac{\cos \delta_1}{\cos \theta_1} \right| < 1$, if $\alpha_\delta = \frac{\theta_1 + \delta_1}{2} \in (0, 90^\circ)$.

C2. $\frac{\cos \delta_1}{\cos \theta_1} > 0$, if $\delta_1 < +90^\circ$.

C3. $\frac{\cos \delta_1}{\cos \theta_1} < 0$, if $\delta_1 > +90^\circ$.

C4. $\frac{\cos \delta_1}{\cos \theta_1} = 0$, if $\delta_1 = +90^\circ$. 

where “0” means degrees. It is not difficult to realize that a similar situation as that shown in fig. 4 also holds at $h_3$. We obtain a similar expression to (7) for this case:

$$dx(k + 1) = \frac{\cos \delta_3}{\cos \theta_3} dy, \quad dx(k + 1) \rightarrow 0, \quad dy \rightarrow 0$$

(8)

Identical conditions to C1-C4 are also valid for $\delta_3$ and $\theta_3$. Thus, use of (7) and (8) yields:

$$dx(k + 1) = \frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_3}{\cos \theta_3 \cos \theta_1} dx(k)$$

(9)

It is not difficult to show that all these results are also valid for the case of fig. 2. Now, let us extend these results to the case of finite segments on the horizontal axis. Suppose that points $a$ and $b$ in fig. 3 define a finite segment of line, $E$. This means that the length of $E$ is not an infinitesimal $dx(k)$ any more but a finite number $S_1$. We still refer to fig. 3 instead of introducing a new figure because of space limitations. We realize that $c$ and $a$ define the finite segment, $I$, obtained on the $-z_2$ axis once the phase flow starting at $E$ completes an oscillation. We recall that circles and lines are continuous functions and that composition of continuous functions is also continuous. Hence, given lines $h_1$, $h_3$ defined on the first and third quadrants angles $\delta_1$, $\theta_1$, $\delta_3$, $\theta_3$ can be expressed as continuous functions of points on $E$. Further, we know that the image of a connected set obtained through a continuous function is also connected, i.e. $I$ is connected because $E$ is connected. Let $S_2$ be the length of $I$, i.e.:

$$S_2 = \int_a^c dx(k + 1) > 0$$

(10)
On the other hand, using the above reasoning, we know that we can use (9) to write (10) in terms of the segment $E$ as:

$$S_2 = \int_a^c dx(k+1) + \int_a^b dx(k) = S_1$$ (11)

if \( \left| \frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_3}{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_3} \right| < 1 \) for all points belonging to the segment of line $E$. This ensures convergence to the closed trajectory $\Gamma$ as the discrete time, $k$, grows. It is straightforward to show that these results are also valid for the case of fig. 2 as well as for the case when the phase flow evolves inside the area contained by the closed trajectories $\Gamma$ or $\Gamma'$. We summarize our findings as follows.

**Proposition 1.** The closed trajectories $\Gamma'$ and $\Gamma$ shown in figs. 2 and 3 are locally asymptotically stable if $\left| \frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_3}{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_3} \right| < 1$ and $-90^\circ < \theta_i < 90^\circ$ stands for subindex “1” and “3”, for all points in a connected segment of line on the $-z_2$ axis containing point $a$. 

![Fig. 3. Phase flow for the underdamped case](image-url)
We stress that given a point on $h_1$ it is possible to use the fact that the converter evolution is described by concentric circles (see section 2) to easily find the corresponding point on $h_2$. On the other hand, from figs. 2 and 3 we realize that C2 and C3 correspond, respectively, to the overdamped and underdamped responses reported by Kim et al. (1991). Note that according to (11) the closer is $\cos \delta_1$ to zero the faster convergence to the closed trajectory is obtained. This means that the case of zero eigenvalues reported by Kim et al. (1991), is obtained if C4 is true. We recall that this is equivalent to the optimal behaviour reported by Oruganti and Lee (1985) and Oruganti et al. (1988). Further, note that C4 means that the switching condition $h_1$ is perpendicular to the line passing through ($-1-z_3,0$) and the point reached on $h_1$ by the phase flow, i.e. the switching condition is parallel to $B_{1D}$.

Finally, we stress that, contrary to figs. 2 and 3, the phase flow starting at a point $b$ located outside the closed trajectory may arrive to a point $c$ located inside the closed trajectory in the case when C4 is true at a point $O_p$ not corresponding to the operating point $O_p$. Although this means that the integration limits used in (11) may require to be changed in order to keep positive both lengths $S_1$ and $S_2$, however it is not difficult to realize that it is still sufficient to satisfy $S_2 < S_1$. This and the connectedness of both $E$ and $I$ ensure convergence to the closed trajectory as $k$ grows. Thus, proposition 1 is also valid in this case.
4 Numerical computations

We stress that the switching condition on the first quadrant, \( h_1 \), can be regarded as the function:

\[
V = z_1 - mz_2
\]  

(12)

The time derivative of \( V \) along the trajectories of (5) is given as the dot product of two vectors:

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial z_2}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial z_1} \right)^T, \quad z = (z_2, z_1)^T
\]  

(13)

We recall that the gradient vector \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \) is perpendicular to \( h_1 \) whereas \( z \) represents the phase flow. Thus, using figs. 2, 3 and (5), (12), (13) we obtain:

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = (-m, 1)^T, \quad \dot{z} = (z_1, -z_2 - z_3 + 1)^T
\]  

just before \( h_1 \) be hit and:

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = (-m, 1)^T, \quad \dot{z} = (z_1, -z_2 - z_3 - 1)^T
\]  

just after \( h_1 \) be abandoned. Use of (14), (15) and the Euclidean norms of the vectors involved yields:

\[
\cos \delta_1 = \frac{\cos \delta_1}{\sqrt{(V + m z_2)^2 + (V + m z_2)^2}}
\]  

(16)

In the next section we present an application example of (16) to determine a region on the phase plane where asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist.
5 Some application examples

- Controller presented by Kim et al. (1991). In fig. 6 we present graphically the application of our stability analysis method to the steady state condition defined as \( z_3 = 0.5 \) and power switch conducting angle equal to 100°. We can use (6) to compute numerically that the operating point \( O_p \) is located at \((z_3,z_1) = (0.899,2.263)\). On the other hand, we stress that parameter \( s_n \) defined by Kim et al. (1991) is related to lines \( h_1 \) and \( h_1' \) through \( m = -1/s_n \). We use the notation \( h_1, h_1', h_1'' \), to define three different switching conditions. We know that the fastest convergence rate is obtained when \( \delta_1 = +90^\circ \), i.e. when \( h_1' \) is tangent to the phase flow \( B_{1D} \). We also know that \( B_{1D} \) is perpendicular to a line passing through \((z_3,z_1) = (-1-z_3,0)\) and \( O_p \). Thus, it is not difficult to obtain that \( m = 1.06 \) in this case. This corresponds to \( s_n = 0.943 \) obtained by Kim et al. (1991) as the zero eigenvalues case. On the other hand, the stability range lays between \( h_1'' \) and \( h_1' \). The corresponding slopes can be computed as follows. Direction of \( B_{1Q} \) is perpendicular to a line passing through \((z_3,z_1) = (1-z_3,0)\) and \( O_p \) whereas direction of \( B_{1D} \) has already been obtained in the previous step. We stress that the switching boundary (6) is symmetric with respect to first and third quadrants. This and the fact that we are studying the stability of an operating point allow us to establish stability using C1 instead of proposition 1. Thus, according to C1, \( \alpha_m = 90^\circ \) is on the stability limit. Let \( h_1 \) correspond to this case. We compute the slope of \( h_1 \) as the tangent function of the middle direction between \( B_{1Q} \) and \( B_{1D} \) (\( \alpha_m \) is measured from a direction perpendicular to \( h_1 \)), i.e. \( m = -0.5392 \) which corresponds to \( s_n = 1.854 \) reported by Kim et al. (1991). We stress that we have obtained results which are very close to those presented by Kim et al. (1991) but using, in our case, a much simpler procedure. On the other hand, in fig. 6 we can also see that use of a circle, \( e \), instead of a line as the switching condition is not a good choice because the line tangent to this circle at the operating point, \( O_p \), has -0.397 as slope, i.e. it is outside the stability range because it is not steeper than \( h_1 \). Further, although an ellipse having its main axis on the vertical direction can be used instead of a circle, however better results than those obtained using a line are not expected because slopes of lines tangent to such ellipse go to zero, i.e. they abandon the stability range, for points closer to the \(+z_1\) axis. We can use these arguments to explain why an ellipse is not used by Kim et al. (1991). On the other hand, straightforward application of our method to the case study presented by Kim and Youn (1991) yields results which are, again, very close to those obtained by Kim and Youn (1991). This analysis is not presented here because of space limitations.

![Fig. 6. Application to the steady state presented by Kim et al. (1991).](image)
In fig. 7 we show how the mean angle $\alpha_m$ changes as a function of location on the phase plane. From this figure we can analyze the stability conditions for a given specific slope $m$ by recalling that, in steady state, the switching condition is hit close to the $z_2$ axis as the output voltage is close to unity whereas output voltages close to zero correspond to a switching condition which is hit, in steady state, close to the $z_1$ axis (see the paragraph after (6) in section 2).

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 7.** a) Direction of $B_{1Q}$ and $B_{1D}$ on the phase plane. From this plot it is easy to find the middle direction between these phase flows and, from this, to compute the mean angle $\alpha_m$. Three different possibilities for $m$ are studied, b), c), d)

- $m=-1$ proposed by Rosseto (1996). We realize that: 1) on the $+z_2$ axis $\alpha_m$ has small variations located between approximately $+45^0$ and a number less than $+90^0$, 2) $\alpha_m>+90^0$ on the $+z_2$ axis for $z_2>1-z_3$. Using C1 to analyse these cases we conclude that stability is expected for output voltages far from unity whereas stability is not ensured for output voltages close to unity. Further, using C4 we also conclude that the fastest convergence to the steady state, $\delta=+90^0$, will always occur for some output voltage far from unity. This agrees with the simulation and experimental results presented by Rosseto (1996). On the other hand, given some $z_2$ and $z_3$ we can use (6) to compute $z_1$, i.e. these values represent an operating point on the phase plane where a closed trajectory exists. Now, we can use (12) to compute $V$. All of these values can be used in (16) to verify if $\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_1 < 1$. Note that this condition is equivalent to $\frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_1}{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_1} < 1$ in proposition 1, because closed trajectories are symmetric on the first and third quadrants. We can repeat this procedure for several points on the phase plane to determine the region on the phase plane where operating points (on $h_1$) corresponding to asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist. This region is represented by the shadowed region in fig. 8 where lines used to shadow such region represent the switching boundaries (6) for different values of $z_1$. We stress that although this analysis might be also done using the eigenvalues based criterion.
introduced by Kim et al. (1991) however a much larger amount of computations are required. The previous analysis is also usefull, for instance, when a PI controller is used to adjust $V$ as proposed by Rosseto (1996) where, however, no stability analysis is presented for such control strategy. We stress that use of assumption A1 allows to ensure that, in spite of continuous changes on $z_1$ and $V$, the resonant variables $z_1$ and $z_2$ are always close to some closed trajectory on $h_1$ and, hence, the local stability result obtained previously by using (6), (16), which is valid on the shadowed region shown in fig. 8, is usefull to ensure stability of the whole PI control strategy.

![Graph showing operating points](image)

Fig. 8. Operating points on $h_1$ (shadowed region) where asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist

- $m=\infty$ or capacitor-voltage control. We realize that: 1) on the $+z_1$ axis $\alpha_m$ has small variations located between $+0^0$ and a number around $+45^0$, 2) $\delta=\theta_1$ and $\alpha_m=-90^0$ on the $+z_2$ axis for $z_2>1-z_3$, and 3) $\alpha_m$ changes from $+90^0$ to $+0^0$ as the output voltage $z_2$ changes from unity to zero. According to 1) and fig. 5 we conclude that $\frac{\cos \delta_1 \cos \delta_3}{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_1}$ is far from zero for output voltages close to zero, i.e. slow system responses are expected. From fig. 7 we realize that $\alpha_m$ grows for points far from the $+z_1$ axis, i.e. the response improves as higher output voltages are used as stated by Oruganti and Lee (1985). However, according to 2) slow responses are expected again for output voltages close to unity.

- $m>0$ proposed by Sira-Ramirez and Silva-Ortigoza (2002). We realize that: 1) $\alpha_m$ may be negative on the $+z_1$ axis if $m$ is small, 2) $\alpha_m<-90^0$ on the $+z_2$ axis for $z_2>1-z_3$, and 3) $\delta<-90^0$ always. Because of 3) the fastest response condition C4 is never achieved and, hence, only slow responses are expected which agrees with the experimental results reported by Sira-Ramirez and Silva-Ortigoza (2002). On the other hand, 2) means that the transient performance is improved with respect to the $m=\infty$ case for output voltages close to unity. Finally, according to 1) instability may be expected for output voltages close to zero, however this is avoided by Sira-Ramirez and Silva-Ortigoza (2002) by defining both $h_1$ and $h_3$ passing through the origin, i.e. $m\rightarrow+\infty$ as $z_3\rightarrow 0$.

6 Conclusions

In this note we introduce a novel stability analysis method for DC to DC series resonant converters. This method provides a graphical tool to determine a region on the phase plane where asymptotically stable closed trajectories exist. A new nice geometrical condition is also formulated to obtain the fastest convergence rate reported previously by several authors. This method allows to understand clearly how performance is affected as the location of the operating point changes on the phase plane. The main reason for this is that it is based on simple geometrical considerations. This method represents a new approach for the stability and performance analysis of several controllers presented in the past: conclusions obtained with our method are in accordance with simulation and simulation.
A New Stability Analysis Method for DC to DC Series Resonant Converters

experimental results reported previously in the literature. We find that use of our method has several advantages when applied to several cases of study reported previously: 1) analysis is much simpler, 2) more insight into the problem is provided, 3) information is easily obtained about how performance is affected when the operating point changes.
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