Muhammad Rif'at, Rahmad Mahendra, Indra Budi, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo

Universitas Indonesia, Faculty of Computer Science, Depok, Indonesia

muhammad.rifat@ui.ac.id, rahmad.mahendra@cs.ui.ac.id

Abstract. Product attribute extraction is an important task in e-commerce domain. Extracting pairs of attribute label and value from free-text product descriptions can be useful for many tasks, such as product matching, product categorization, faceted product search, and product recommendation. In this paper, we present a study of attribute extraction from Indonesian e-commerce product titles. We annotate 1,721 product titles with 16 attribute labels. We apply supervised learning technique using CRF algorithm. We propose combination of lexical, word embedding, and dictionary features to learn the attribute using joint extraction model. Our model achieves F1-measure 47.30% and 68.49% respectively for full match and partial match evaluation. Based on the experiment, we find that doing attributes extraction on more various number and diverse attributes simultaneously does not necessarily give worse result compared to extraction on less number of attributes.

Keywords. Attributes extraction, e-commerce, product title, named entity recognition, Indonesian language.

1 Introduction

In the recent years, e-commerce has gained rapid growth all over the world. Nowadays, online shopping is regarded as an integral part of our daily lives. E-commerce enables consumers to purchase a large number of products from a variety of categories, such as electronics, clothing, and foods.

An online marketplace features a very long-tail inventory and receives data from thousands of merchants about millions of products. Few sellers may provide rich and structured specification of product offers, while the vast majority only provides natural language description. Those product descriptions need to be extracted into pairs of attribute label and value in order to gain useful information from them. Extracting attributes from free-text data is an important building block as many tasks within the domain of e-commerce, including product matching, product categorization, faceted product search, and product recommendation, are able to take benefits from structured information about the products.

The products with specific functionalities are offered on the web with various brands or produced by different manufacturers. On the other hand, the same real-world products are sold by the number of e-shops, in which each retailer may provide heterogeneous product descriptions with different levels of detail. Given that many thousands of online shops sell millions of diverse products over the web, product matching has become of increasing importance. Extracting such attributes from the products can advance the matching process [3, 4, 12].

Another application that can utilize attribute label-value pairs of product offer is content-based recommender systems. The recommender systems help the user to choose suitable item from many options in the product list; one of possible approach is content-based filtering. This approach tries to recommend items that are similar to those the user has liked / bought in the past. Having list of its attributes comes in handy to represent the content of a product [16].

1368 Muhammad Rif'at, Rahmad Mahendra, Indra Budi, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo

Product attributes extraction problem can be formulated as Named-Entity Recognition (NER) task [13, 2]. Text fragments corresponding to particular attributes, e.g. brand and name, are considered as named-entity to be extracted. NER task in e-commerce domain faces several challenges. Product titles, which are typically short texts, do not necessarily follow grammatical structure. There are many abbreviations and typographical errors in writing the product descriptions.

Several studies have been conducted to tackle product attributes extraction problem using varied approaches: supervised and semi-supervised learning [1, 13, 8, 2], unsupervised learning [7, 15], and regular expression [11].

Previous work exploited data from large international e-commerce companies that uses English language. There has not been prominent work on attribute extraction from product offers in languages other than English. In fact, Asia Pacific is greatest B2C e-commerce market, based on survey by e-commerce foundation in 2015¹. Of course, products being traded in the market are not only offered by global e-commerce companies, but also by local sellers. As textual description may be written in local language, attribute extraction from non English product offer is also useful task.

Indonesia e-commerce market has a lot of untapped potentials. By 2025, Indonesia is expected to dominate 52 percent of all ecommerce activities in Southeast Asia (Indonesia's e-Commerce Landscape 2014: Insights into One of Asia Pacific's Fastest Growing Markets)². This is due to the fact that Indonesia is one of the most populous countries in the world. Its geographical situation also makes e-commerce as an inevitable mode for distributing goods.

In this paper, we present sequence learning technique to extract multi-attributes from the product offers in Indonesian e-commerce platform. We publicly publish our dataset consisting of 1,721 annotated product titles³.

²http://www.specommerce.com.s3.amazonaws.com/dl/ wp/141215-white-paper-indonesia.pdf Some products that are available in Indonesian online market are imported goods. So, the products descriptions in our dataset are not only in Indonesian language, but also in foreign language (mostly English). The dataset also contains switch-code language product title.

In the remainder of this paper, we first discuss related work in the past. In section 3, we describe the dataset and annotation process. In section 4 and 5, we explain our proposed method and then report the result and analysis of our experiment. And in section 6, we conclude and discuss future work.

2 Related Work

Extracting attributes from product description has been done in some previous works. Mauge et. al. [7] conducted a work for structuring e-commerce items into descriptive properties (we call them attributes). They did unsupervised property discovery and supervised property synonim discovery using maximum entropy based clustering algorithm.

Ghani et al. [1] extracted attribute-value pairs from apparel and sporting product textual description. They used bootstrapping method to get more training data from unlabeled data. The techniques applied for the attribute extraction are Expectation-Maximization (EM) and Naive Bayes.

Putthividhya and Hu [13] extracted four types of attributes (i.e., brand, garment type, size, and color) from e-Bay clothing and shoes product categories. They also compared several methods to extract attributes, such as Hidden Markov Model (HMM), Maximum Entropy, Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Conditional Random Field (CRF). The result showed that CRF give better result. Putthividhya and Hu also used bootstrapping, however, not to add training data, but to expand the seed list of attribute values.

Radhakrishnan et al. [14] parsed Amazon product titles from the camera and photo categories to obtain several semantic tags: brand name, product name, and version. They used CRF to tackle the problem. More [10] worked on brand recognition from Walmart product titles. More's system combined sequence labeling algorithms,

¹https://www.ecommercewiki.org/wikis/www. ecommercewiki.org/images/5/56/Global_B2C_Ecommerce_ Report_2016.pdf

³https://github.com/derhif/enamex-center

such as CRF and Structured Perceptron, with a normalization scheme.

Joshi et al. [2] investigated use of distributed word representation as features for NER task in e-commerce domain. They found that extending basic features (i.e., lexical and orthography) with word embedding that is pre-trained on combination of in and out-of-domain corpus, using CRF, delivers best result. Joshi et al. conducted the experiments on e-Bay products from 5 categories, i.e., cellphones, cellphone accessories, men's shoes, watches, and women's clothing.

Kozareva et al. [5] studied the performance of multiple structured prediction algorithms to automatically recognize product, brand, model, and product family semantic types from the shopping queries.

Melli [8] proposed annotation structure to apply to the product titles from diverse set of industry types. Each product title is chunked into semantically classified sub-segments, that are product identifying term, product feature term, product category term, product brand term, product line term, merchant term, offering feature term, and functional term. To parse the product title, a supervised CRF model was used.

Our work, similar to Melli [8], is conducted on the dataset of products from a broad range of categories. However, instead of abstract concept term, our gold-standard data is labeled with familiar high-level semantic categories, e.g. brand, name, and size.

3 Data and Annotation

There are different forms of textual information associated with an e-commerce item. Product specification and long text description are often optional, vary greatly from seller to seller and among marketplaces. While, the product title is mandatory information for most marketplaces. It is indexed by the search engine and searched against by users of the website. Snippets shown in search result pages are generated from the product titles. In this work, our focus is only extracting attributes from the product titles. We collected the data from 3 different Indonesian e-commerce websites, namely Elevenia⁴, Bukalapak⁵, and Lazada⁶. We obtain 91,395 Elevenia, 26,728 Bukalapak, and 53,417 Lazada product titles. Due to resources limitation, only a small portion of data is annotated and used for the experiment. The sample of the data is selected by stratified sampling technique based on product category.

The products categorization varies among several e-commerce platforms. Each marketplace has its own product taxonomy. For example, Elevenia classified the products in its website into 8 categories. While, each product in Bukalapak e-shop is categorized into nearly 20 fine-grained classes. To deal with differentiation of categories among platforms, we applied rule-based mapping approach. After the mapping process, we consider only products from top-15 frequent categories. i.e., Fashion, Electronics, Handphone & Tablets, Computer & Laptop, Foods & Drinks, Health & Beauty, Baby & Children, Home Application, Office Supplies, Hobby, Jewelry & Watch, Automotive, Industry Tools & Appliances, Media, and Gadget Accessories. Our sample data consists of 1,721 product titles.

We define 16 kinds of attributes in our annotation scheme, as followings:

- 1. **Brand attribute**. A brand is a trademark or distinctive name identifying the product. The brand makes a product distinguishable from a clutter of products in the marketplace. It can be a company brand, an endorsed brand, or an individual product brand.
- 2. Name attribute. The name is given to the variant of the product that is released in a certain period and/or area. The product name attributes in our annotated data can be a series number or the brand naming extension.

⁴http://www.elevenia.co.id

⁵http://www.bukalapak.com

 $^{^{6}}Lazada$ is actually an international e-commerce company. Our research uses only the data from Indonesian local site <code>http://www.lazada.co.id</code>

1370 Muhammad Rif'at, Rahmad Mahendra, Indra Budi, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo

- 3. **Type attribute**. It specifies the functionalities of the product, e.g. *television, kitchen knife*, and *lotion whitening*. The product type attribute may be associated with the fine-grained classification of the product category in particular e-shop.
- 4. **Color attribute**. The attribute is any term that describes the product's color. The terms are not limited only to the basic colors (e.g. *green*, *blue*), but are also list of exhaustive color (e.g. *navy*, *copper gold*).
- 5. Size attribute. The attribute describes the standardized size of the product. It can be numeric or nominal data (e.g. *small*, *medium*)
- 6. **Gender attribute**. Some products are targeted to be sex-specific. Gender-based information may be mentioned in the product title, as in the following example, *Polo shirt for men*.
- 7. **Age attribute**. Some products are designed for specific age group. Mention of the age information in the product title can be numeric, interval, or nominal (e.g. *toddler*, *adult*).
- 8. **Material attribute**. The attribute describes the main fabric or material that the product is made of (e.g. *plastic, cotton*).
- 9. **Pattern attribute**. Several products may have the pattern feature in the design. For example, *striped shirt*.
- 10. **Theme attribute**. The attribute describes the graphic printed on the product. A theme usually comprises a set of texts, shapes, and colors. It can be logo, painting, photo, or title of the popular entity (such as film, public figures, sport team). For example, *thermos bottle hello kitty*.
- 11. **Shape attribute**. This attribute describes the physical form of a product. For example, *cetakan kue <u>ikan koi</u>* (translation: fish-shaped cookies mold).
- 12. **Mass attribute**. It is the unit weight of a product.

- 13. **Dimension attribute**. It can be the length, width, or volume of the product.
- 14. **Quantity attribute**. It is the number of pieces of individual item in a product package.
- 15. **Flavor attribute**. This attribute is typically present in foods, also health and beauty products It defines the flavor of product that varies by taste.
- 16. **Misc attribute**. Some products, e.g. electronics or computers, have a long list of specification. Typically, the specification is specific towards product category. For example, camera has *flash model*, *shutter speed*, and *file format*; refrigerator has *door type* and *freezing system*. In our annotation, the variation of product specification is considered as *Misc* attribute.

An attribute can be represented as single or multi-tokens within product title. On the other hand, not all tokens in the product title correspond to any of 16 pre-defined attributes.

The first step of annotation process is tokenizing the product title into a sequence of tokens. The whitespace character is used as token boundary in general. Each single non-alphanumeric character is considered as an individual token, except for these following cases:

- a comma or a full stop occurring in between the sequence of numbers,
- a hyphen symbol occurring in between of the sequence of alphabet words or the sequence of numbers
- an apostrophe preceding / in between of the sequence of alphabets, or following the sequence of numbers

The sequence of tokens in the product title are labeled with BIO encoding. For a sequence of tokens corresponding to a particular attribute X, the label *B*-*X* is assigned to the first token in that sequence, while any token other than the first is labeled with *I*-*X*. The label *O* indicates that the token is not part of any attribute in the corresponding title.

botol	thermos	hello	kitty	pink	embos	6	350	ml					
B-TYPE	I-TYPE	B-THEME	I-THEME	B-COLOR	0	B-D	IMENSION	I-DIMENSION	I				
Dijual	Pisau Ke	eramik	6	Se	t Kitc	hen	Knife	6	Set	Diskon	20	170821	
0	B-TYPE B-M	ATERIAL	B-QUANTI	TY I-QUAN	TITY B-T	YPE	I-TYPE	B-QUANTITY	I-QUANTITY	0		0	
Adidas	Duramo	Lite	М	Men's	Shoes	- 3	Core	Black	- Iron	Met		- Ftwr	White
B-BRAND	B-NAME	I-NAME	B-SIZE	B-GENDER	B-TYPE	0	B-COLOR	I-COLOR	O B-COLOR	I-COLOR	0 0	B-COLOR	I-COLOR
Spigen	Samsung	S9	Case	Ultra H	Hybrid	- (Copper	Gold					
B-BRAND	B-BRAND	B-NAME	B-TYPE	0	0	OE	3-COLOR	I-COLOR					





Fig. 2. Frequency Distribution of Attributes in Annotated Product Titles

In our case, with 16 attributes, each token must be labeled with one of 33 possible labels: {*O*, *B-Brand*, *I-Brand*, *B-Name*, *I-Name*, *...*, *B-Misc*, *I-Misc*}.

Figure 1 shows the example of the labeled product title.

The most frequent attribute label in our annotation is *Type*. Attributes *Type*, *Brand*, and *Name* occur respectively 2,003, 1,202, and 887 times. While, attributes *Shape* and *Flavor* are the most infrequent ones. Distribution of number of attribute annotated in our data is presented in Figure 2.

4 Method

We formulate product attributes extraction as a sequence labeling problem, in which each token w_i is associated with a hidden label $y_i \in$ set of attributes. Formally, given a product title containing N tokens $W = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_N)$, we want to find the best sequence of labels $Y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_N)$, in which each label is determined using probability $P(y_i|w_{i-l}, ..., w_{i+l}, y_{i-l}, ..., y_{i+l})$; and l is a tiny integer.

We approach the problem with supervised learning using Conditional Random Field (CRF) [6]. Features used for the experiment are combination of lexical, word embedding, and

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2018, pp. 1367–1375 doi: 10.13053/CyS-22-4-3073

ISSN 2007-9737

1372 Muhammad Rifat, Rahmad Mahendra, Indra Budi, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo

dictionary features. Lexical features include bag-of-words, orthography, and word position, while dictionary features include list of attribute values and language code. Information about lexical and dictionary features are listed in Table 1.

Table 1.	Lexical and	Dictionary	Features
----------	-------------	------------	----------

Feature	Description
Bag-of-Words	current token and tokens
(bow)	in window of two $(w_{-2},$
	w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, w_2)
Orthographic (orth)	Orthographic feature of
	current token and tokens
	in window of 1, e.g.:
	CamelCase, Containdigit,
	ALLCAPS, etc.
Word Position	Relative position of token
(pos)	in product description
Attribute Dictionary	Occurrence of token in
(attrDict)	dictionary of feature
Language Code	Possible language of cur-
(lang)	rent and surrounding to-
	kens, checked based on
	language code dictionary
	(e.g. the word "knife" ex-
	ists in English dictionary,
	but word " <i>pisau</i> " does not)

To obtain word embedding (*we*) feature, we use skip-gram model from word2vec [9] pre-trained by in-domain corpus, i.e., corpus of e-commerce product titles itself. Distributed word representation features that are taken into consideration are current token and tokens in window of 2 $(w_{-2}, w_{-1}, w_0, w_1, \text{ and } w_2)$.

5 Experiment and Result

5.1 Evaluation Setting

We conduct the experiment with 10-fold cross validation, each of which adopts a 90-10 split. 90% of the data is used for the training, while the other 10% is for the testing.

The result of attribute extraction are evaluated in entity-level with full-match and partial match methods. To illustrate, suppose that the expected label consists of two tokens or more and the predicted contains only one token of them, partial match still counts it as a true positive. While, full match considers the prediction for multi-token attributes is true if all those tokens are completely predicted and no other token is included in the predicted label. As for evaluation, we measure precision, recall and F1 metric.

5.2 Feature Ablation

Feature ablation aims to determine the contribution of the features toward performance of extraction model. To measure contribution of each feature, model that applies all proposed features is firstly evaluated. Then, a feature is ablated one by one from the model. As there are 6 feature groups, we create 6 new different models, each of which uses remaining 5 features after ablation. Each of 6 models are evaluated and compared to model with all features. Feature ablation study is reported in Table 2.

Based on the ablation study, it can be seen that all proposed features contribute positively to increase model performance. When one of them is removed in the experiment, F1-Measure decrease. The most influential feature in our model is the bag-of words.

5.3 Analysis of Model Performance

If the evaluation metric is broken down more detail into the attribute class, we find that number of attributes in the data has correlation with the model performance in predicting the attributes. Frequent attributes (e.g. *brand*, *color*) are relatively able to be predicted more accurately than rare attributes (e.g. *shape*, *flavor*). Evaluation of each attribute is reported in Table 3.

Gender is the attributes with highest F1measure (89.59%). Token representing *gender* attribute does not vary much. In addition, representation of *gender* is usually only single token, so it is reasonable if the prediction result is good and there is no difference between full match with partial match.

Color is the third best extracted attributes in prediction model. The fact that the *color* attribute

Features	Full Match (%)					Partial Match (%)		
	precision	recall	F1-Measure	precision	recall	F1-Measure		
all	52.66	42.93	47.30	76.26	62.16	68.49		
all - wordEmb	51.91	42.50	46.73	75.66	61.95	68.12		
all - <i>bow</i>	49.91	39.24	43.94	74.21	58.34	65.33		
all - <i>orth</i>	51.61	38.62	44.18	78.25	58.56	66.99		
all - <i>pos</i>	51.74	42.16	46.46	75.98	61.91	68.23		
all - attrDict	51.13	40.88	45.43	75.77	60.57	67.32		
all - <i>lang</i>	51.57	41.83	46.20	75.94	61.60	68.02		

Table 2. Feature Ablation Study

Table 3. Evaluation of Attributes Extraction from Product Title

Attributo	F	ull Match	(%)	Partial Match (%)			
Allibule	precision	recall F1-measure		precision	recall	F1-measure	
brand	65.71	61.27	63.28	74.44	69.42	71.69	
name	34.67	31.54	32.93	65.25	59.47	62.05	
type	41.91	34.39	37.77	80.93	66.41	72.93	
color	75.37	65.19	69.84	87.61	75.71	81.15	
size	58.12	31.87	40.54	69.40	37.22	47.68	
gender	91.29	88.22	89.59	91.29	88.22	89.59	
age	48.98	33.50	38.06	56.16	38.31	43.68	
material	55.27	26.62	35.22	78.36	36.74	49.17	
pattern	56.33	26.65	33.28	70.33	33.01	41.64	
theme	38.70	13.69	19.56	58.11	21.74	30.54	
mass	93.18	75.06	81.86	95.52	76.68	83.72	
dimension	62.79	55.85	58.43	65.67	58.41	61.13	
quantity	63.39	40.25	48.67	80.31	52.67	62.77	
flavor	20.00	15.00	16.67	20.00	15.00	16.67	
shape	5.00	1.67	2.50	5.00	1.67	2.50	
misc	64.55	41.85	50.36	75.95	48.85	58.98	

extraction has a convincing score can be caused by at least two reasons. First, most entities of color attributes consist only of at most two tokens, and in general only one. Second, many colors appear on products title are popular, so they are repeated many times in the data. As most product title contain *color* attributes and the variation of those attribute values are not too broad, the bag-of-words and word embedding features are able to predict them quite well.

The attributes containing number format token, such as *mass*, *quantity*, and *dimension*, perform well enough. Such attributes have characterized orthographic pattern. The attribute is usually composed of a number followed by 2 or 3 letters denoting the unit. For example, *300gr* (*mass*), *3pcs* (*quantity*), and *180x200x20cm* (*dimension*).

Extraction of several attributes, i.e., *type*, *name*, *theme*, and *pattern*, obtains the evaluation score with wide gap between full match and partial match. The length of those attributes varies from 1 to more than 3 tokens. Such attributes consists of open-class words (most of them are OOV), so it is very challenging for the model to recognize them perfectly from a product title.

Our model is a joint extraction model that recognize multi-attributes simultaneously in just one process.

While, most previous works on attribute extraction tasks focus only on limited number of 1374 Muhammad Rif'at, Rahmad Mahendra, Indra Budi, Haryo Akbarianto Wibowo

Attributo	Model	F	ull Match	ı (%)	Partial Match (%)			
Allibule	Model	precision	recall	F1-measure	precision	recall	F1-measure	
brand	joint-16attr	65.71	61.27	63.28	74.44	69.42	71.69	
	joint-3attr	68.15	60.16	63.79	77.23	68.16	72.28	
	binary	75.12	55.20	63.48	82.19	60.53	69.55	
name	joint-16attr	34.67	31.54	32.93	65.25	59.47	62.05	
	joint-3attr	38.64	29.10	33.06	71.27	53.58	60.93	
	binary	47.99	23.90	31.78	77.50	38.47	51.22	
type	joint-16attr	41.91	34.39	37.77	80.93	66.41	72.93	
	joint-3attr	44.27	33.69	38.24	83.29	63.42	71.97	
	binary	47.03	31.30	37.56	86.20	57.40	68.86	

Table 4. Comparison of Attribute Extraction Model

attributes. We want to see the changes of model performance if we reduce number of attributes extracted from product title. We conduct the experiment to compare between a joint model with exhaustive list of attributes, a joint model with limited number of attributes, and the binary model. Model performance comparison is evaluated on three main attributes, i.e., brand, name, and type.

Another joint model is implemented with only considering those three attribute labels. Other attributes are treated as 0 label in this model. We also implement three binary models, that works separately to learn each attribute. Model comparison is reported in Table 4.

It is intuitive that binary model has higher precision compared to the joint model. However, extracting multi-attributes with joint model can obtain better recall. In the end, we believe that extracting abundant number of attributes simultaneously still achieve satisfying performance.

6 Conclusion

We presented attribute extraction task from product title in Indonesian e-commerce data. We annotated 1,721 product titles from 3 different Indonesian e-commerce platforms. Our data, that consists of 15 various product categories, is publicly available for research purpose. We approach product extraction problem as sequence labeling task.

We apply supervised learning using CRF algorithm in this study. Six feature groups are

proposed, i.e., bag-of-words, word position, token orthography, word embedding, language code, and attribute dictionary features. Our model extract all attributes jointly in a single learning process. The experiment shows that performance of attribute extraction does not much affected by number of attributes to extract. Doing multi-attributes extraction from the product title using the joint model is worth the effort to obtain more structured information about the product.

There are a couple of interesting directions that may be considered for future research. First, even though our data consists of mixed of Indonesian and English language, analysis of model related to switch-code data have not covered in this study. As e-commerce products are traded in international market, model adaptability in cross-lingual and multi-lingual setting is useful.

Second, as we approach the problem as named-entity recognition task, each token can be annotated exactly with one label. However, we find several cases in which a token can correspond into more than one label (e.g. *girl* is not only interpreted as gender, but also implicitly embeds information about age attribute). Third, this study has not completely extracted ready-to-use product attribute. Further step to identify semantically equivalent values amongst extracted attributes is needed. Last but not least, considering current performance, the learning model is widely open for improvement.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the PITTA UI Grant Contract No. 406/UN2.R3.1/HKP.05.00/2017. The first author was also partially funded by Bukalapak.

References

- 1. Ghani, R., Probst, K., Liu, Y., Krema, M., & Fano, A. (2006). Text mining for product attribute extraction. *SIGKDD Explor. Newsl.*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 41–48.
- Joshi, M., Hart, E., Vogel, M., & Ruvini, J.-D. (2015). Distributed word representations improve ner for e-commerce. VS@ HLT-NAACL, pp. 160–167.
- Kannan, A., Givoni, I. E., Agrawal, R., & Fuxman, A. (2011). Matching unstructured product offers to structured product specifications. *Proceedings of* the 17th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD '11, ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 404–412.
- Köpcke, H., Thor, A., Thomas, S., & Rahm, E. (2012). Tailoring entity resolution for matching product offers. *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Extending Database Technology*, ACM, pp. 545–550.
- Kozareva, Z., Li, Q., Zhai, K., & Guo, W. (2016). Recognizing salient entities in shopping queries. Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2016, August 7-12, 2016, Berlin, Germany, Volume 2: Short Papers.
- Lafferty, J. D., McCallum, A., & Pereira, F. C. (2001). Conditional random fields: Probabilistic models for segmenting and labeling sequence data. *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Machine Learning*, ICML '01, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, pp. 282–289.
- Mauge, K., Rohanimanesh, K., & Ruvini, J.-D. (2012). Structuring e-commerce inventory. Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Long Papers-Volume 1, Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 805–814.
- 8. Melli, G. (2014). Shallow semantic parsing of product offering titles (for better automatic hyperlink

insertion). Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining, ACM, pp. 1670–1678.

- Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. S., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 3111–3119.
- More, A. (2016). Attribute extraction from product titles in ecommerce. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.04670.
- 11. Petrovski, P., Bryl, V., & Bizer, C. (2014). Learning regular expressions for the extraction of product attributes from e-commerce microdata. *Proceedings* of the Second International Conference on Linked Data for Information Extraction-Volume 1267, CEUR-WS. org, pp. 45–54.
- Petrovski, P., Primpeli, A., Meusel, R., & Bizer, C. (2016). The wdc gold standards for product feature extraction and product matching. *International Conference on Electronic Commerce and Web Technologies*, Springer, pp. 73–86.
- **13.** Putthividhya, D. P. & Hu, J. (2011). Bootstrapped named entity recognition for product attribute extraction. *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Association for Computational Linguistics, pp. 1557–1567.
- Radhakrishnan, P., Gupta, M., & Varma, V. (2014). Modeling the evolution of product entities. Proceedings of the 37th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research; Development in Information Retrieval, SIGIR '14, ACM, New York, NY, USA, pp. 923–926.
- Shinzato, K. & Sekine, S. (2013). Unsupervised extraction of attributes and their values from product description. Sixth International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, IJCNLP 2013, Nagoya, Japan, October 14-18, 2013, pp. 1339–1347.
- Xu, B., Zhang, M., Pan, Z., & Yang, H. (2005). Content-based recommendation in e-commerce. *Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2005*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 946–955.

Article received on 14/12/2017; accepted on 15/02/2018. Corresponding author is Muhammad Rif'at.

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 22, No. 4, 2018, pp. 1367–1375 doi: 10.13053/CyS-22-4-3073