A Numerical Perspective on the Jaynes-Cummings Model Wigner Function
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Abstract. The Wigner function appeared to discuss the quantum correction of thermodynamic equilibrium, and it has become a tool for the analysis of quantum systems, especially the harmonic oscillator, which states describe the quantum field in a cavity. We discuss a matrix approach for the computation of the Wigner function. The numerical techniques here discussed are applied to obtain the time-dependent Wigner function of the field for the Jaynes-Cummings Model, which is widely known to describe the fundamental matter-field interactions in a perfect cavity.
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1 Introduction

According to the foundations of the quantum mechanics, the complete description of a quantum system relies on the knowledge of the state vector $\psi$ that belongs to the space states [5]. However, it is difficult to extract, in a transparent manner, the most relevant physical insights of a quantum system through the single analysis of its vector state. Fortunately, several useful representations can demystify its abstract nature, among them we find the Wigner function. It is primordially appropriated to discuss the connection between the classical and the quantum domain [15].

The initial emergence of the field Wigner function in cavities has become increasingly important as fundamental Quantum question has received a renewed attention and that demanded of a handy theoretical, numerical and experimental tool able, as the Wigner function, to express its analysis. This is particularly important in composite quantum systems [11], where its complexity limits quite frequently our ability to provide fully solvable results.

In those systems, the Wigner function becomes far too complex to be solved analytically and the need to have a fully numerical approach is required. The aim of this work is to introduce
Let us notice that while the equation 1 is valid for a quantum system described by the density operator applies to a quantum system described by the equation 2 is of more general application because it has been proposed the factorization of the JCM cavity quantum field. To compute the JCM Wigner function, it is convenient to briefly describe the quantum version of this model is the Jaynes-Cummings Model (JCM), which in its simplest form describes the interactions between a two-level atom and the quantized field in a perfect cavity [10]. The analysis of the field Wigner function in this model is a standard tool to inquire on the properties of the cavity quantum field. To compute the JCM Wigner function, it has been proposed the factorization of JCM the wave function as:

\[ W(\alpha, \alpha^*) = 2Tr \left( \rho D(\alpha) e^{i\pi a^\dagger a} D^{-1}(\alpha) \right). \] (2)

An alternative and equivalent way to compute the Wigner function is through [3]:

\[ W(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int d\xi \exp \left( -\frac{i}{\hbar} p\xi \right) \phi^* (x - \frac{1}{2} \xi) \phi (x + \frac{1}{2} \xi). \] (1)

Two The Harmonic Oscillator Wigner Function

The Harmonic Oscillator (HO) is one of the most widely used models in many areas of physics. The quantum version of this model is the mathematical tool to describe the quantum field inside a perfect cavity. Therefore, before inquiring into the JCM cavity field Wigner function, it is convenient to briefly describe the quantum harmonic oscillator and to show the expressions of its time-dependent Wigner function. The Hamiltonian of the quantum harmonic oscillator is:

\[ H_{\text{HO}} = \hbar \omega \left( a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right), \] (4)

where its frequency is given by \( \omega \) and the rising and lowering operators are provided by \( a^\dagger \) and \( a \) respectively, and their properties have a vast literature.

There are quantum states expressed in terms of \( a \) and \( a^\dagger \), as the mathematical tool to describe the quantum field in cavities. The Fock state \( |n\rangle \) is defined through the eigenvalue relation \( a^\dagger a |n\rangle = n |n\rangle \), where \( n \) denotes an integer number. The Fock states are known for having a well-defined number of quanta and for not having a classical counterpart. These states are particularly relevant since they allow a discrete representation of quantum states and operators, which is a convenient way for its numerical implementation. The coherent states, introduced by Glauber [7], are defined as the eigenstate of the annihilation operator.
operator $a |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle$, where $\alpha$ is, in general, a complex number. They have the closest classical-like behavior, and they describe the laser beam light accurately.

The HO propagator is just the exponential of the Hamiltonian $\exp (-iHt/\hbar)$:

$$ U_{\text{HO}} (t) = \exp (-i\omega a^\dagger at). \quad (5) $$

Moreover, the HO state at an arbitrary time $t$ is:

$$ |\phi (t)\rangle = U_{\text{HO}} (t) |\phi (0)\rangle. \quad (6) $$

Two typical examples of propagating an initial state are the Fock state $|n\rangle$, which at $t$ acquires only a phase factor $e^{-i\omega nt} |n\rangle$. On the other hand, if the HO initially is a coherent state, the state becomes $|\alpha e^{-i\omega t}\rangle$. The corresponding analytical expression of the Wigner function is:

$$ W (\alpha, \alpha^*) = 2(-1)^n e^{-4|\alpha|^2} L_n \left(2|\alpha|^2\right), \quad (7) $$

for a Fock state. In equation (1.7) $n$ denotes the HO number of quanta, and $L_n (x)$ denotes the nth-order Laguerre polynomial. Notice that this Wigner function is time-independent because in this case the density matrix does not depend explicitly on time, see Fig. 1. The Wigner function of a coherent state is given by:

$$ W (\alpha, \alpha^*) = 2e^{-|\alpha - \alpha_0 (t)|^2}. \quad (8) $$

The equation 8 describes a clockwise rotating two-dimensional Gaussian function in the complex plane defined by $\alpha$, which is centered at $\alpha_0 (t)$. Both didactic examples 7 and 8 as well the Wigner function of other remarkable states, are well-known and are reported in the literature [14]. Despite the simplicity of the analytical expressions 7 and 8, the computations are lengthy, even under this simple Hamiltonian.

### 3 The Jaynes-Cummings Model

The Jaynes-Cummings Model (JCM) [10] is one of the most notorious physical models to accurately describe the interactions between the quantized field in a one-dimensional perfect cavity of frequency $\omega$ and a Two-Level Atom (TLA) with atomic transition frequency $\omega_0$. The strength of such interactions is provided by the coupling constant $\lambda$. The JCM Hamiltonian is:

$$ H = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_0 \sigma_z + \hbar \omega a^\dagger a + \hbar \lambda (a\sigma_+ + a^\dagger \sigma_-). \quad (9) $$

The above Hamiltonian was obtained under Rotating Wave Approximation (RWA). Just like we anticipated, the operator $a$ describes the cavity mode, and satisfies the usual Bosonic operators commutation rule $[a^\dagger, a] = 1$. On the other hand, the atomic rising $\sigma_+ = |1\rangle \langle -1|$ and lowering $\sigma_- = |1\rangle \langle 1|$ operators govern the transitions between the atomic excited $|1\rangle$ and ground state $|-1\rangle$. They are related to the atomic inversion operator through the commutation rule $\sigma_z = [\sigma_+, \sigma_-]$.

There are several outstanding mathematical properties of this model. One of them is the existence of motion constants. They are the total number of excitation and the interchange constant [1], which are given by:

$$ N = a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_z + 1), \quad (10a) $$

$$ C = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \sigma_z + \lambda (a\sigma_+ + a^\dagger \sigma_-). \quad (10b) $$

Often the computation of JCM analytical expressions relies on the knowledge of these constants.
Furthermore, the technique of finding the motion constants is quite a useful path for solving more complex quantum-electrodynamical systems. The propagator of the JCM is given by:

$$U(t) = \exp(-i\omega Nt) \exp(-iCt).$$

(11)

Another JCM feature is the existence of the so-called dressed states that diagonalize the Hamiltonian, and are given by:

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |1, 0\rangle,$$

(12a)

$$|\psi_n^+\rangle = c_n |1, n-1\rangle + s_n |1, 1\rangle,$$

(12b)

$$|\psi_n^-\rangle = -s_n |1, n-1\rangle + c_n |1, 1\rangle.$$  

(12c)

In the equation (12) we are going to choose the Bosonic index \(n\) satisfying the condition \(n > 0\). The quantities \(c_n\) and \(s_n\) are a shorthand notation for

$$c_n = \cos(\theta_n/2) \text{ and } s_n = \sin(\theta_n/2),$$

where \(\theta_n\) is defined through:

$$\cos \theta_n = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\lambda^2 N_{nm}}},$$

(13a)

$$\sin \theta_n = \frac{2\lambda \sqrt{N_{nm}}}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\lambda^2 N_{nm}}}.$$  

(13b)

The dressed states are a complete base for the JCM. Therefore, a JCM unity operator is the following:

$$I_{JCM} = |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0 | + \sum_n \sum_{\xi=+,-} |\psi_n^\xi\rangle \langle \psi_n^\xi |.$$  

(14)

The exact JCM eigenfrequencies are [13]:

$$\omega_{nm}^\pm = N_{nm} \omega + \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4\lambda^2 N_{nm}} \right).$$

(15)

The total excitation was denoted by \(N_{nm}\), and it is given by:

$$N_{nm} = n + \frac{1}{2} (m+1),$$

(16)

where \(n\) and \(m\) are the eigenvalues of the unperturbed operators \(a^\dagger a\) and \(\sigma_z\) respectively.

4 Numerical Implementation of Quantum States and Operators

As we have previously pointed out, the time-dependent cavity field Wigner function computation is a formidable task, even in the absence of atomic interactions. However, the definition given in equation [2] provides quite a convenient manner to numerically perform such a task. For this purpose, we have to recall that the quantum states and operators have computer readable representations. In this matrix formulation of the quantum mechanics, a quantum state is described by a column vector while a quantum operator by a matrix. In general, both of them have complex entries.

4.1 Atomic Operators and States

Most of the modern software for matrix management allow the implementation of column vectors and several other helpful matrix operations, like the matrices Kronecker product or the matrix exponentiation. The excited \(|1\rangle\) and the ground \(|-1\rangle\) atomic states are represented through the following column vectors:

$$|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(17)

and

$$|-1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(18)

On the other hand, the rising and the lowering atomic operators have the matrix representation:

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(19)

and

$$\sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

(20)

The dimension of the vectors is \(1 \times 2\), while the dimension of the operators is \(2 \times 2\) due to the two-dimensional TLA Hilbert space.
4.2 Field Operators and States

Field states and operators also have to be implemented on the computer. The Fock \(|n\rangle\) state, and the operators of annihilation and creation, have an infinite dimension. Therefore, a knowledgeable practitioner has to approximate them. Let us choose a convenient example of truncation at \(n_{\text{max}} = 5\). We have to establish a criterion to obtain an upper bound to describe numerically these operators. Often this upper bound is dictated in terms of state distribution mean number, see Fig. 1. A field described by a Fock state with two photons, \(n = 2\), is approximated by the column vector:

\[
|2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (21)

In the same terms, the coherent state can be easily approximated with the following equation:

\[
|\alpha\rangle = e^{-|\alpha|^2/2} \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} |n\rangle,
\] (22)

where \(\alpha\) is a complex number. The annihilation operator, in the same terms, has the matrix representation:

\[
a = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sqrt{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (23)

In the same analogous terms, \(a^\dagger = (a)^T\) due to we have chosen the real representation for the \(a\) matrix.

4.3 Interacting Systems

The last two sections describe how to implement the states and operators of two isolated systems, the atom and the field. However, just like the JCM establish, these two systems are interacting. In that case, the extended Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{TLA}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{FIELD}}\) provides the mathematical description of the JCM dynamics, where \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{TLA}}\) and \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{FIELD}}\) denote the Hilbert space of each subsystem. To work in the extended Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\), we must implement extensions of the isolated operators as well as the quantum states [4]. Numerically, both cases are implemented through the Kronecker product of two matrices, which for two arbitrary matrices \(A = \{a_{ij}\}\) and \(B = \{b_{ij}\}\) is given by [9]:

\[
A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix}
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{m1}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B
\end{pmatrix}.
\] (24)

If we restrict ourselves to the JCM, the initial state will be given by \(|\psi_0\rangle = |\psi_{\text{TLA}}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{\text{FIELD}}\rangle\) the dimension of the resulting discrete Hilbert space is...
2 × n_{\text{max}}. The extension of the atomic operators \(O_{\text{TLA}}\) are given by:

\[
\tilde{O}_{\text{TLA}} = O_{\text{TLA}} \otimes I_{\text{FIELD}}. \tag{25}
\]

The extension of an arbitrary field operator \(O_{\text{FIELD}}\) is given by:

\[
\tilde{O}_{\text{FIELD}} = I_{\text{TLA}} \otimes O_{\text{FIELD}}. \tag{26}
\]

In both equations (1.25) and (1.26), \(I_{\text{FIELD}}\) and \(I_{\text{TLA}}\) denote the field and the TLA identity operators respectively. The operators \(\tilde{O}_{\text{TLA}}\) and \(\tilde{O}_{\text{FIELD}}\) are matrices that have the same dimensions and obey the standard matrix operations.

4.4 Numerical Solution

The proper implementation of the extended operators in the JCM framework allows an easy matrix construction of Hamiltonian using typical computer matrix operations. Such computer implementation is advantageous because it allows knowing the state of the JCM at an arbitrary time through several methods. For instance, through the numerical solution of the first-order system of differential equations, provided by the Schrödinger equation:

\[
i\hbar \frac{d |\phi(t)\rangle}{dt} = H |\phi(t)\rangle. \tag{27}
\]

The numerical techniques to solve differential equations systems, as the given in equation 27, are widely known as are its limits, precision, and convergence 2,8. Solving numerically the system is a very general approach, which however has its practical limitations that can be overcome in particular cases like the JCM. A second alternative approach is given by expressing equation 11 in our matrix representation:

\[
U(t) = \exp(-i\omega Nt) \exp(-iCt), \tag{28}
\]

Where \(N\) and \(C\) are the discrete versions of the operators defined in 10. A third alternative, a more convenient for our purposes, is expanding the wave function in terms of the numerical dressed states \(H |\phi_k\rangle = E_k |\phi_k\rangle\) and its eigenstates, which are numerically available:

\[
|\phi(t)\rangle = U(t) \sum_{k=0}^{2 \times n_{\text{max}}} |\psi_k\rangle \langle \psi_k | \phi(0)\rangle \tag{29a}
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{2 \times n_{\text{max}}} c_k \exp(-i\omega_k t) |\psi_k\rangle. \tag{29b}
\]

The coefficient \(c_k\) is easily computed through the matrix operation \(c_k = \langle \psi_k | \phi(0)\rangle\), as well as the numerical eigenfrequencies that are given by \(\omega_k = E_k/\hbar\). The vector state at \(t\), computed by either of the mentioned methods, leads to the direct computation of the JCM density matrix \(\rho(t) = |\phi(t)\rangle \langle \phi(t)|\), i.e., to the knowledge of the physical properties of the JCM, in particular the Wigner function.

5 Numerical Computation of the JCM Wigner Function

The matrix formulation of the quantum operators that we provided is intended to describe interacting atom-field systems. However, the definition of Wigner function requires only the density matrix of the field. In addition to the Kronecker product, we have to implement an operation that reduces the dimension of the matrix of a composite system to obtain only the density matrix of the field. This operation is called partial trace.

Let us discuss the concept of the trace. Consider an arbitrary base \(|\chi_i\rangle\) that belongs to a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\), and also an operator \(O\) living in a space denoted by \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})\). The trace of \(O\) is the sum of the diagonal elements in the mentioned base:

\[
\text{Tr} \{O\} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \langle \chi_i | O | \chi_i \rangle, \tag{30}
\]

where \(d\) is the dimension of \(\mathcal{H}\). The trace is, in general, a complex quantity and may be taken in another basis which includes those with continuous indices. The JCM density matrix \(\rho_{\text{JCM}}(t)\) is described in the extended Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{TLA}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{FIELD}}\). In consequence, we must reduce the dimension of \(\rho_{\text{JCM}}\) by dropping the atomic elements, this procedure is known as partial trace.
Figure 3. Comparison between the numerical time-dependent Wigner function of a harmonic oscillator (On the left) and the resonant JCM (On the right). In both cases, the initial field is coherent with an average number of photons equal to nine. Consistently with the equation (1.8), the coherent state of the oscillator rotates clockwise keeping its shape. The coherent state in the JCM splits into two contributions, and in the middle, there exhibit quantum interference that can be interpreted as an atom-field entanglement. Also it is observed a clockwise rotation, which is reminiscent of the non-interacting part of the JCM Hamiltonian. For this numerical experiment, the TLA was prepared in the excited state and its denoted by \( \rho_{\text{FIELD}} = \text{Tr}_{\text{TLA}} \{ \rho_{\text{JCM}} (t) \} \). It is implemented through (12):

\[
\rho_{\text{FIELD}} = \sum_{i=1,1} (I_{\text{FIELD}} \otimes |i\rangle) \rho_{\text{JCM}} (I_{\text{FIELD}} \otimes |i\rangle).
\] (31)

On the other hand, if we are interested in the atomic density matrix -for instance, to inquire in the Bohr vector dynamics-, we can follow an analog definition to obtain the TLA density matrix:

\[
\rho_{\text{TLA}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\text{n}_{\text{max}}} (|j\rangle \otimes I_{\text{TLA}}) \rho_{\text{JCM}} (|j\rangle \otimes I_{\text{TLA}}).
\] (32)

With the knowledge of the density matrix, we can easily implement a routine to compute the Wigner function:

\[
W (\alpha, \alpha^*) = 2 \text{Tr} \left[ \rho_{\text{FIELD}} D (\alpha) e^{i \alpha a^{\dagger}} D^{-1} (\alpha) \right],
\] (33)

where \( D (\alpha) \) is the displacement operator, which is given by:

\[
D (\alpha) = \exp (\alpha^* a - \alpha a^{\dagger}).
\] (34)

The numerical implementation of \( D (\alpha) \) and \( e^{i \alpha a^{\dagger}} \) can be straightforwardly done in most of the modern software for matrix management. To become computationally more efficient, we can use the cyclic property of the trace and take the trace, by using Fock states, to obtain an expression that requires fewer matrix exponentiations:

\[
W (\alpha, \alpha^*) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\text{n}_{\text{max}}} (-1)^n \langle n | D (-\alpha) \rho_{\text{FIELD}} D (\alpha) | n \rangle.
\] (35)

The matrix operations involved in the equation 35 makes clear the power of the matrix methods for the computation of the Wigner function.

6 Final Remarks

The numerical techniques here developed can be used to extend easily the results presented in Fig 3. Among these extensions, we can consider the cavity field prepared with other coherence properties. This is done by just changing the initial
state of the field $ρ_{\text{FIELD}}$. Also, we can explore more complex cases, for instance, the time-dependent Wigner function for the non-resonant JCM, or a TLA prepared in a superposition of excited and ground state. Despite we applied the formalism to the numerical computation of the Wigner function, we also can use it to inquire into other quantities of interest such as expectation values of $σ_z$, widely known as the atomic inversion.

In the matrix approach here presented, the most relevant source of numerical errors is introduced by the approximated field operators and its posterior exponentiation. To guarantee a good approximation, we have to take the dimension of the approximated field operators $n_{\text{max}}$ large enough; the criterion followed in this work is to select $n_{\text{max}}$ that makes the sum of the diagonal elements very close to 1. Let us recall that in Fig. 1 we choose the value 0.999991346873.

There are several matrix management software. Matlab is an excellent option, but also there are free options. For instance numy and scipy, which has the python programming language at its core. These libraries also have capabilities to manage sparse matrices, which can reduce considerably the time of execution of the numerical routines required for the matrix operations in quantum problems.
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