Style Transfer Technique for Dermatological Imaging of Skin Lesions in Various Phototypes

Nohemí Sánchez-Medel, Victor Romero-Bautista, Raquel Díaz-Hernández, Saúl Zapotecas-Martínez, Leopoldo Altamirano-Robles*

Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Puebla, Mexico

{sanchezn, robles}@inaoep.mx

Abstract. In dermatological practice, accurate identification and classification of skin lesions in various skin phototypes are essential for early and effective diagnosis. In this context, advances in artificial intelligence have highlighted the potential of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) as powerful tools for generating realistic medical images. This study focuses specifically on dermatological image generation by applying Style Transfer (ST) of seven skin lesions in six skin phototypes (Fitzpatrick scale) using the CNN model (VGG19). Once generated, the images are evaluated using two metrics: the structural similarity index (SSIM) and the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence. This work aims to improve visual data availability to support computer-aided diagnosis. Beyond extending the existing dataset with traditional data augmentation methods, we seek to enrich the quality and diversity of the images generated for each skin phototype. Seven hundred clinical images of seven common skin lesions were collected from the HAM10000 dataset, and 420 images were generated with the VGG19 model. Malignant and benign skin lesions were classified using the EfficienNet B0 and B1 models. The results suggest that the ST technique can be applied as an alternative method for diversifying skin phototypes in the HAM10000 data set.

Keywords: Style transfer, skin phototypes, skin lesions, VGG19 model, EfficientNet, evaluation metrics.

1 Introduction

Understanding skin lesions is fundamental to diagnosing and treating numerous dermatological conditions, which fall into two broad categories: primary and secondary. Primary lesions emerge on previously healthy skin and are the first indication of pathology. They can arise from various internal causes, such as hormonal imbalances and allergies, or external causes, such as exposure to infectious agents. In contrast, secondary lesions result from the evolution or transformation of primary lesions. They may develop as a natural consequence of the progression of a lesion or due to external factors such as trauma, secondary infections, or even the patient's self-interventions. Physical examination alone is often insufficient to diagnose the lesion accurately, so it is necessary to perform complementary tests, including dermatological imaging.

However, the quality of these images is strongly influenced by acquisition conditions, which can impact dermatologic diagnosis and the preaccuracy of computer-aided diagnostic systems. In addition, the public images currently available are limited to a specific population, which creates a bias in representing the wide variety of skin types and skin tones of a people group. The style transfer algorithm has emerged as a powerful tool to address texture problems, allowing the standardization of images. This study implements the VGG19 algorithm based on style transfer published by Leon A. Gatys [5] using CNNs. The CNN approach synthesizes textures from the original images while preserving their semantic content. The resulting images are evaluated using the Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence and the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) metrics. These evaluations demonstrate that the results obtained with these algorithms are promising. Fig. 1 provides a graphical summary to help understand the implemented style transfer technique.

The contribution of this research is based on two main points:

- 1. Generating images of dermatological lesions according to six different skin phototypes.
- 2. Evaluating the produced images to assess their similarity and divergence from the original images.

The rest of this investigation is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the necessary background to understand this research. Section 3 reviews related work. Section 4 details the proposed methodology. Section 5 presents the experimental study and discusses the results. Finally, Section 6 provides the conclusion and suggests paths for future research.

2 General Background

2.1 Deep Learning

This work employs deep neural networks composed of processing units called artificial neurons or nodes [11]. A Convolutional Neural Network (CNN or ConvNet) is a network architecture that learns directly from data. They help identify image patterns to recognize objects, classes, and categories. In addition, they can be very effective for classifying audio, signal, and time series data. CNNs generally consist of input, output, and several hidden layers. They can have tens or hundreds of layers. Filters are applied to the training image at different resolutions, and the resulting output is used as input for the next layer. The three most common layers are convolution, activation or ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit), and clustering layers. Convolution applies a set of convolutional filters to the input data; each filter activates different features of the images. ReLU keeps positive values and sets negative values to zero, allowing faster and more efficient training. It is also known as activation since only activated features proceed to the next layer. Finally, clustering simplifies the output by nonlinear reduction of the sampling rate, which reduces the number of parameters the network must learn. These operations are repeated on tens or hundreds of layers; each layer learns to identify different features [2]. The convolution operation is a process in which a group of pixels is taken from the input image, and a scalar product is performed

with a kernel; mathematically, a convolution of two functions, f, and g, is defined as:

(f*g) (i) =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} g(j) \cdot f(i-j+\frac{m}{2}),$$
 (1)

where f y g are two functions; f is the input function, and g is the kernel. *i* is the index at which the convolution is being evaluated. *m* is the length of the convolution kernel. The symbol * denotes the discrete convolution operation. The summation \sum is performed over the index J from 1 to m. The expression g(j). $f(i - j + \frac{m}{2})$ within the summation is the value of the function f at the index $i - j + \frac{m}{2}$.

2.2 Image Evaluation Metrics

An image evaluation metric is a technique used to measure the quality and similarity between two images. They are essential for evaluating the accuracy and efficiency of image processing algorithms, such as segmentation and classification. Some image evaluation metrics include structural similarity index (SSIM), Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL), peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR), and mean squared error (MSE). Each metric has advantages and disadvantages, and choosing the appropriate metric for the task at hand is essential. For example, the (SSIM) metric is used to evaluate the quality of compressed images. At the same time, the (KL) helps compare probability distributions; the (PSNR) and (MSE) metrics are commonly used to evaluate the segmentation accuracv of and classification algorithms.

Structural Similarity Index (SSIM). Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) is a metric used in image processing to evaluate the quality and similarity between two images, Wang Z. et al. [16]. It was proposed by [16] in 2002 as an improvement of the Universal Image Quality Index (UIQI) metric, which had only first and second-order statistics of the original and distorted images. It is considered an unstable metric and does not correlate well with subjective evaluation, which led Wang & Bovik to propose the Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) as an improvement.

The SSIM is calculated by dividing the original and distorted images into blocks of size 8 x 8, converting them into vectors, and calculating the images mean, standard deviation, and covariance. The comparison of luminance, contrast, and structure based on statistical values is calculated using the metric (UIQI). The formula (2) gives the measure of the structural similarity index between the images a and b:

$$SSIM (a, b) = (2\mu \mu b + C1)(2\sigma a\sigma b + C2)((\mu 2a + \mu 2b + C1)(\sigma 2a + \sigma 2b + C2)),$$
(2)

where $\mu_{a\,y}\,\mu_{b}$ are the means of the images a and b, or a and ob are their standard deviations, and or ab is the covariance between the images a and b. The constants C₁ and C₂ are used to avoid division by zero and stabilize the calculation. SSIM is considered a stable metric.

Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KL). The Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence [17] is a metric used to calculate the difference between two probability distributions. It measures the amount of information lost when one distribution is used to approximate another and is applied in several areas, such as machine learning and information theory. The formula for the Kullback-Leibler divergence is as follows:

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{KL}} (P \mid\mid Q) = \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log \binom{P(X)}{Q(x)}.$$
(3)

In this equation, P and Q are the probability distributions to be compared. The Kullback-Leibler divergence is used in various applications, such as detecting biases in data, comparing machine learning models, and assessing the quality of estimates. In addition, it can be used to define other metrics, such as the Jensen-Shannon distance.

3 Related Work

In texture transfer, the goal is to synthesize a texture from a source image while constraining texture synthesis to preserve the semantic content of a target image. For example, Efros and Freeman [4] introduce a correspondence map with target image features, such as image intensity, to

constrain the texture synthesis procedure. Hertzman et al. [5] use image analogies to transfer texture from a stylized image to a target image. Ashikhmin [6] focuses on transferring highfrequency texture information while preserving the scale of the target image. Lee et al. [7] improve this algorithm by additionally informing texture transfer with edge orientation information. Convolutional Neural Networks trained with sufficient labeled data on specific tasks, such as object recognition, have been shown to learn to extract high-level image content in generic feature representations that are generalized across datasets (J. Donahue et al. [8]) and even to other visual information processing tasks (M. Kümmerer et al. [9]), and texture recognition (M. Cimpoi et al. [10]). In this paper, we explore using generic feature representations learned by convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to process both content and style of images. We implement the neural networkbased algorithm with the VGG19 architecture, according to the work of L. A. Gatys et al. [11], to perform style transfer.

On the other hand, Wang Z. et al. [16] introduced an alternative, complementary framework for guality assessment based on the degradation of structural information. They developed a structural similarity index as a specific example of this concept. They demonstrated that human visual perception is highly adapted to extracting structural information from a scene through intuitive examples and comparing subjective ratings and state-of-the-art objective methods on a compressed image database. Likewise, Kullback and Leibler [17] developed a non-symmetric measure of the similarity or difference between two probability distribution functions. The divergence is not a distance metric; it is not symmetric and is a particular case of a broader class of divergences. It was introduced as a directed divergence in two distributions and can be derived from the Bregman divergence. This paper explores using generic feature representations learned by convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to process images' content and style. An algorithm based on the VGG19 neural network is implemented to perform style transfer, and two evaluation metrics, SSIM and KL, are applied to test the similarity and divergence of the produced images. Finally, malignant and benign



Fig. 1. Skin Phototypes According to the Fitzpatrick Scale [14]



Fig. 2. Shades of the six phototypes for human skin

skin lesions were classified using the EfficienNet B0 and B1 models. This approach combines a CNN-based parametric texture model with a method to invert the image representation, which allows for effective style fusion. This model not only stands out as an effective pre-processing strategy for deep learning-based applications but also has the potential to significantly improve the visual diagnosis performed by dermatologists in skin lesion analysis.

4 Methodology

4.1 Dataset

The HAM10000 database [13] is a fundamental reference in dermatology and computer vision, widely used to research and develop skin disease detection algorithms. This database contains high-resolution dermatoscopic images, including benign and malignant skin lesions. For this particular study, 700 images were carefully selected. They

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570 are evenly distributed (100 for each type of lesion), covering the seven most common classes of lesions: Actinic keratoses (AKIEC), basal cell carcinoma (BCC), benign keratosis-like (BKL), dermatofibroma (DF), melanoma (MEL), melanocytic nevu (NV) and vascular lesions (VASC). These images were primarily collected by the ViDIR Group of the Department of Dermatology at the Medical University of Vienna and by the Australian Skin Cancer Bureau, specifically the University of Queensland Medical School.

4.2 Skin Phototypes According to the Fitzpatrick Scale

The Fitzpatrick scale is a skin classification system developed by dermatologist Thomas Fitzpatrick in 1975 [14]. This scale is based on the skin's response to sun exposure and the amount of melanin Fig. 1. It classifies skin into six phototypes, from phototype I (light skin that always burns and never tans) to phototype VI (very dark skin that never burns and always tans, producing a dark brown tone). This work used six shades representing the six skin phototypes to create the styled images. Figure 2 presents the images with the shades of the six phototypes.

4.3 Image Style Transfer using CNN

Style transfer, an artificial intelligence technique based on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), is a process where a new image (output image) is created from two input images (a content image and a style image) using the style transfer algorithm [11]. This algorithm extracts structural features from the content image, such as edges or general shape, while it extracts information, such as texture or color, from the style image. This information is combined to generate the new output image, blending both input images. In dermatology, style transfer is used to enhance image quality and standardization. Both techniques, in turn, can profoundly impact diagnostic accuracy, ensuring more precise diagnoses and the efficiency of diagnostic support systems, enabling faster and more effective patient care. Previously, these algorithms required significant computational power. However, they

Hyperparameters	Parameters			
Number of layers of	Epochs: 50			
filters: 19	Learning rate: 1e-2			
Kernel size: 3 x 3	Value of alpha α: 1			
Activation function: ReLU	Value of beta β: 1e-4			
Image size: 512 * 512	Optimizer: SGD			
Max pooling: 2 x 2				

 Table 1. Hyperparameters and parameters

have become more accessible and do not require excessive computational cost. The most critical hyperparameters and parameters of the transfer of estimate are shown in Table 1.

Style and content features are generated using a VGG19 network. This network consists of multiple convolutional and clustering layers that learn hierarchical representations of the visual features in the images. Activations are extracted from the intermediate convolutional layers of the network to create style features. These activations represent texture and style patterns present in the reference style image.

On the other hand, activations are extracted from a specific convolutional layer that captures high-level semantic information in the network to generate content features. This layer is generally located near the network output, where the activations represent abstract images. Once the style and content features have been extracted from the images, they are used to compute the Gram matrices of the style and content layer activations. These matrices capture the statistical correlations that combine a reference style image with a reference content image.

The loss functions used are the style and content loss functions. L_{style} loss is calculated by comparing the Gram matrices of the style layer activations between the generated and the reference style images. The style loss function is defined as the difference between the Gram matrices of the style layer activations of the generated image and the reference style image.

The goal is to minimize this difference so that the generated image is styled similarly to the reference style image. The content loss $L_{content}$ is calculated by comparing the activations of a content layer between the generated image and the reference

content image. The content loss function is defined as the difference between the activations of the content layer of the generated image and the reference content image. The objective is to minimize this difference so that the generated image retains the semantic content of the reference image.

These losses are combined in a loss function:

$$_{_total} = \alpha L_{_content} + \beta L_{_style},$$
 (4)

where: L_{total} is the total loss, $L_{content}$ is the content loss, L_{style} is the style loss, α is a weight parameter (tends to 1), and β is a weighing parameter (tends to 0).

This loss function is minimized using optimization algorithms such as stochastic gradient descent (SGD). By minimizing this loss function, the optimization algorithm adjusts the pixels of the generated image so that both the style and the content resemble the reference images. The formulas used in the context of the style transfer algorithm to optimize a generated image so that it matches both content and style to a reference image are as follows:

$$L_style = \sum Wl El, \tag{5}$$

$$L_{content} = \sum (Fl - Pl)^2, \tag{6}$$

$$L_{total} = \alpha L_{content} + \beta L_{style}.$$
 (7)

These formulas calculate the losses. The style loss equation (5) focuses on capturing the style characteristics of the reference image. Meanwhile, the content loss equation (6) focuses on maintaining the essential content of the original image. The total loss equation (7) combines both to guide the optimization process toward a final image that achieves the desired balance between content and style.

In Figure 3, an example of the result of applying the style transfer algorithm to a skin lesion image (dermatofibroma) with a phototype I is presented.

Algorithm 1 shows the pseudocode describing the style transfer process using the method proposed by [5], implemented in this work.

Algorithm 1 Style transfer

1: Image pre-processing ▷ Loads the content and style images to start processing

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

ISSN 2007-9737

556 Nohemí Sánchez-Medel, Victor Romero-Bautista, et al.



Fig. 3. Style transfer using a CNN and the VGG19 architecture

2: Initialisation of the generated image \triangleright Initialises the image by combining

3: Feature extraction ▷ Extracts features from the style and content images using the VGG19 architecture.

4: Optimization loop ▷ Iterates a specified number of times to optimize the generated image.

a: Forward propagation \triangleright Feature computation

b: Loss calculation \triangleright Calculates the losses of the input images.

c: Back-propagation ▷ Back propagates total losses to adjust network weights.

d: Update generated image \triangleright Update the image using the gradient

5: Post-process the generated image \triangleright Make adjustments to improve the visual quality of the image.

5 Experimental Study

5.1 Key Elements of the Method

Key elements of the method are described as follows:

Dataset Selection: The HAM10000 dataset, widely used in dermatology and computer vision, collected 70 clinical images of seven common skin lesions.

Neural Network Model used (VGG19): The VGG19 convolutional neural network model was employed for dermatological image generation of skin lesions. This architecture is known for its efficiency in extracting visual features from images.

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

Style Transfer: The technique based on the algorithm proposed by Leon A. Gatys was implemented using convolutional neural networks. This technique allows combining the content of one image with the style of another, thus generating new images that preserve structural and characteristics.

Image Pre-processing: Before applying for the style transfer, images were pre-processed to adjust to the appropriate size and format for the VGG19 model.

Hyperparameter Optimization: The style transfer algorithm's hyperparameters, such as image size, optimizer, learning rate, and number of epochs, were adjusted to obtain optimal results.

Evaluation of Generated Images: Image evaluation metrics, such as Structural Similarity Index (SSIM) and Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence, were used to measure the quality and similarity between the generated images and the reference images.

Classification of Skin Lesions: Malignant and benign skin lesions were classified using the EfficientNet B0 and B1 models.

Results and Analysis: The results obtained by applying the style transfer algorithm to different types of skin lesions and skin phototypes were presented. In addition, the results were analyzed in terms of the evaluation metrics used.

These elements constitute the primary methodology of the study and hopefully provide a better understanding of how the style transfer technique was applied.

5.2 Retrained Architectures

Seventy representative images of seven benign and malignant skin lesions were selected equally from the HAM10000 dataset. These images were distributed into seven sets, each comprising ten images corresponding to the seven most common skin lesions.

The style transfer algorithm (CNN) was implemented during this stage using the VGG19 architecture. Finally, two evaluation metrics, SSIM and KL, were applied.

Style Transfer Technique for Dermatological Imaging of Skin Lesions in Various Phototypes 557

	DERMATOEIR	ROMA (DE)	Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)						
Carl I	DERMATORIE		IS	C_0030555 (df)	% Similarity				
				Phototype I	70%				
0	0	-		Phototype II	70%				
			Original	Phototype III	72%				
			-	Phototype IV	79%				
		1		Phototype V	81%				
-				Phototype VI	67%				
hototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KL)						
5	-	1000	IS	C 0030555 (df)	# Kullback-Leibler				
A	A 1			Phototype I	0.004365028				
	10 A			Phototype II	0.004370869				
2	200		Original	Phototype III	0.007321706				
~	-	and the second se		Phototype IV	0.003406945				
ototype IV	Phototype V	Phototype VI		Phototype V	0.00253431				
				Phototype VI	0.009104976				
					(2011)				
-				oncoural Similarity Index	(SSIM) % Similarity				
63.2	Image: ISIC (032692		Phototyne I	82%				
100	VASCULAR	SIONS (VASC)		Phototype I	81%				
6721	WASCOLAR L		Original	Phototype II	78%				
			Onginai	Phototype III	76%				
-	-			Phototype IV	0.0%				
				Phototype V	65%				
	- 22				00%				
-	-			Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KL)					
hototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	1310						
-				Phototype II	0.006010112				
100			Original	Phototype II	0.013061916				
200			Onginai	Phototype III	0.028637263				
		100		Phototype V	0.018409639				
ototype IV	Phototype V	Phototype VI		Phototype VI	0.082019384				
				Structural Similarity I	ndey (SSIM)				
	Image: ISIC_0	034540	ISI	C 0034540 (nv)	% Similarity				
and the	MELANOCYTI	C NEVU (NV)		Phototype I	64%				
		99999979797997997997979797979797979797		Phototype II	64%				
			Original	Phototype III	65%				
			eglai	Phototype IV	67%				
1				Phototype V	68%				
				Phototype VI	48%				
				Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL)					
	٠	•		Kullback-Leibler diver					
thototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver	# Kullback-Leibler				
thototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver C_0034540(nv) Phototype I	# Kullback-Leibler 0.003121713				
• hototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver C_0034540(nv) Phototype I Phototype II	# Kullback-Leibler 0.003121713 0.00353262				
• hototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver C_0034540(nv) Phototype I Phototype II Phototype III	# Kullback-Leibler 0.003121713 0.00353262 0.005296909				
ehototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver C_0034540(nv) Phototype I Phototype II Phototype III Phototype IV	# Kullback-Leibler 0.003121713 0.00353262 0.005296909 0.001632994				
hototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III	IS	Kullback-Leibler diver C_0034540(nv) Phototype I Phototype II Phototype III Phototype IV Phototype V	# Kullback-Leibler 0.003121713 0.00353262 0.005296909 0.001632994 0.001356791				

4(a)

4(b)

4 (c)

				Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)					
				ISIC	0035196 (m		Similarity		
	lin	nage: ISIC_(0035196	Bhototype I		cij 70	64%		
	M	IELANOMA	(MEL)	•	Phototype I		64%		
				Original	Phototype III		63%		
				Original	Phototype IV		68%		
4(d)		-	-		Phototype V		69%		
	ACR	A	1		Phototype VI		48%		
	24	24		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1070		
.(u)	Phototype I P	Phototype II	Phototype III		Kullbac	k-Leibler diverg	ence (KL)		
				ISIC	_0035196 (m	el) #	Kullback-Leibler		
		-	10 m m		Phototype I		0.020066526		
	See.	10000	10 million (197		Phototype II		0.020634282		
	of the second	STATE OF	Comment of Co	Original	Phototype III		0.030157181		
	Phototype IV	Phototype V	Phototype VI	-	Phototype IV		0.015944848		
	i nototype iv				Phototype V		0.007044723		
					Phototype VI	0.048140983			
	imag	nage: ISIC_0035450			Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)				
	BAS	AL CELL CAP	RCINOMA (BCC)		510_0035450		% Similarity		
					Phot Dhat	otype I	75%		
				Original	Phot	otype II	75%		
	44	*	-	Original	Phot Bhot	otype III	70%		
	1	14	18		Phot	totype IV	80%		
4(e)					Phot		66%		
	Phototype I Pho	ototype II I	Phototype III		11100		0078		
	-	100	Phototype VI	Kullback-Leibler divergence (KL)					
	10	22			SIC 0035450	(bcc)	# Kullback-Leibler		
	100				Phototype I		0.006133231		
	Phototype IV Pho	ototype V			Phot	otype II	0.007218897		
	Thoroughe IV			Original	Phot	otype III	0.011248598		
				Ũ	Phot	otype IV	0.003655802		
				Phot	totype V	0.002898504			
					Phot	otype VI	0.086185315		
					Structu	Iral Similarity In	dex (SSIM)		
					ISIC_00346	675 (bkl)	% Similarity		
	Inco		0034675			Phototype I	84%		
	imag PENII	CN KEDATO				Phototype II	84%		
	BEINIG	GN KERAIO	SIS-LIKE (BKL)	Original		Phototype III	86%		
						Phototype IV	87%		
						Phototype V	87%		
			Phototype III Phototype VI			Phototype VI	57%		
4(f)		*			Kullha				
()	Phototype I Pho	Phototype II				CK-Leibier aiver			
					ISIC_00346	575 (bkl)	# Kullback-Leibler		
	1 m m					Phototype I	0.003315362		
	5	3		0		Phototype II	0.002782388		
				Original		Phototype III	0.00229128		
	Phototype IV Pho	ototype V				Phototype IV	0.000726205		
		100					0.000726556		
							0.003130330		

Fig. 4 (d-f). Cont.

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

0.007222013

Style Transfer Technique for Dermatological Imaging of Skin Lesions in Various Phototypes 559

-	Image: ISIC 0	031211	Structural Similarity Index (SSIM)				
	ACTINIC KERA	TOSES (AKIEC)	ISIC_00)31211 (akiec)	% Similarity		
Sec. Sec.				Phototype I	77%		
				Phototype II	77%		
		ATTEND STREET	Original	Phototype III	77%		
10.00	27	13	Ū	Phototype IV	81%		
2.11				Phototype V	83%		
				Phototype VI	56%		
Phototype I	Phototype II	Phototype III					
	130 100	-	Kullback-Leibler Divergence (KL)				
8	1	8	ISIC_00)31211 (akiec)	# Kullback- Leibler		
	Phototype V	Phototype VI		Phototype I	0.00851994		
Phototype IV	Phototype v	Phototype vi		Phototype II	0.007704469		
			Original	Phototype III	0.01093089		
			-	Phototype IV	0.001667059		
				Phototype V	0.001089583		

4(g)

Fig. 4 (g). Cont.



Fig. 5. This figure shows the most common skin lesions: (A) Dermatofibroma (df), (B) Melanoma (mel), (C) Benign keratosis (bkl), (D) Melanocytic nevu (nv), (E) Basal cell carcinoma (bcc), and (F) Vascular lesion (vasc)

5.3 Implementation of Style Transfer Algorithm

Fig. 3 shows the result obtained by applying the VGG19 style transfer algorithm in skin phototype I and the results of the evaluations generated by the two metrics applied to seven different skin lesions.

5.4 Results of Style Transfer Algorithm and Evaluation Metrics

Figs. 4a to 4g show the results obtained by applying the VGG19 style transfer algorithm to seven images corresponding to seven skin lesions in six different skin phototypes and the results of the evaluations generated by the two metrics applied to seven different types of skin lesions.

Phototype VI

5.5 Classification of Phototype VI Skin Lesions using the EfficientNet Model

Images corresponding to phototype VI were generated to classify skin lesions. They were equally distributed between malignant and benign lesions of the most common classes, such as Actinic Keratosis (AK), Benign Keratosis (BKL), Basal Cell Carcinoma (BCC), Seborrheic Keratosis (SK), Carcinoma (C), Dermatofibroma (DF) and Melanoma (MEL).

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

Databasas	Model CNN	Epochs						
Dalabases		30	100	500	1000	1500	2000	5000
HAM 10000	EfficientNet B0	55.00%	40.00%	69.00%	65.00%	65.00%	70.00%	68.75%
PhotoVI (BS)	EfficientNet B0	64.28%	67.85%	71.42%	71.42%	57.14%	60.71%	67.85%
HAM 10000	EfficientNet B1	43.75%	75.00%	68.75%	56.25%	68.75%	50.00%	68.75%
PhotoVI (BS)	EfficientNet B1	57.14%	64.28%	60.71%	71.42%	64.28%	75.00%	67.85%

Table 2. Results of the classification



Fig. 6. Skin lesions (PhotoVI BS).

One hundred forty images were generated from the HAM10000 dataset using the style transfer technique implemented in this work, thus obtaining a new brown skin database, PhotoVI BS.

The following figure, Fig. 5, shows images of the most common skin lesions in the HAM10000 dataset.

5.6 Result of Classification of Skin Lesions by CNN

The following table (Table 2) shows the values obtained and the plot of the accuracy measure. A new database was generated by applying the style transfer (ST) technique, specifically phototype VI (PhotoVI BS). Some examples of this new dataset

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

are shown in Figure 6. We used 140 images from the HAM10000 and PhotoVI BS datasets, equally distributed between malignant and benign categories, with 70 images in each category (the number of images is reduced to facilitate training without excessive use of computational resources). The images were divided into training and validation sets, with a 90% and 10% ratio, respectively. At this stage of the work, the two models used (EfficientNet B0 and B1) were retrained and adjusted.

It can be observed that the best value obtained in the HAM10000 database (white skin) using the EfficientNet B0 model was 70% with 2000 epochs, while for the PhotoVI BS database, it was 71.42% with 500 and 1000 epochs, respectively. Furthermore, for the EfficientNet B1 model, the HAM10000 database obtained 75% with 100 epochs, equaling PhotoVI BS with the same value but with 2000 epochs. These results show that it is possible to classify skin lesions in dark skin (phototype VI) using our PhotoVI BS database.

5.7 Final Remarks

This work has shown that skin phototypes are essential as they significantly influence the accuracy of dermatological lesion analysis. The reasons can be diverse, such as the amount of training data, the contrast of the lesions, the illumination at the imaging time, and the model's generalization. For phototype V, which represents a more common skin tone in Mexico, the model has been trained more effectively due to the availability of a significant amount of training data. However, the model has difficulty distinguishing between the different classes for phototype VI, which has fewer common features in the dataset and shares similarities with other classes, such as color, texture, and borders. This resulting confusion contributes to lower accuracy in skin lesion classification for phototype VI compared to phototype V.

6 Conclusion

This study demonstrates the feasibility of using convolutional neural networks (CNN), specifically the VGG19 architecture, to perform style transfer.

Also, the study demonstrates the outstanding performance of the proposed approach. An essential part of this work was the application of similarity and divergence evaluation metrics, which allowed us to assess the technique's effectiveness accurately. These metrics revealed positive demonstrating that Style results. Transfer enhances and standardizes images, synthesizes textures, and preserves the original content, thus generating consistent, high-quality images. In addition, Style Transfer was found to facilitate image analysis, which is important because, in dermatological diagnostics, image guality and clarity are essential for accurate assessment. Style transfer is a promising technique to improve image generation quality, and applying evaluation metrics to these images will undoubtedly be crucial to validate their performance. These metrics need to be further explored and refined to ensure reliable evaluations.

7 Future Work

For future research, it is suggested that other neural network architectures be included in addition to the one analyzed in this study. Evaluating color consistency techniques with other metrics and on different data sets is recommended to compare and evaluate their performance.

References

- Qamar, R., Ali Zardari, B. (2023). Artificial Neural Networks. Mesopotamian Journal of Computer Science, Vol. 2023, pp. 130–139. DOI: 10.58496/ MJCSC/2023/015.
- 2. O'Shea, K., Nash, R. (2015). An Introduction to Convolutional Neural Networks. Vol. 1, pp. 1–10 DOI: 10.48550/ arXiv.1511.08458.
- 3. De la Torre, J. (2023). Redes Generativas Adversarias (GAN) Fundamentos Teóricos y Aplicaciones. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv. 2302.09346.
- 4. Efros, A.A., Freeman, W.T. (2001). Image quilting for texture synthesis by and transfer. Proceedings of the 28th annual Conference on

Computación y Sistemas, Vol. 29, No. 2, 2025, pp. 551–562 doi: 10.13053/CyS-29-2-5570

Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, pp. 341–346, ACM.

- Hertzmann, A., Jacobs, C.E., Oliver, N., Curless, B., Hertzmann, D.H.A., Jacobs, C.E., Oliver, N., Curless, B., Salesin, D.H. (2001). Image analogies. Proceedings of the 28th annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, pp. 327– 340. DOI: 10.1145/3832 59.383295.
- Ashikhmin, N. (2003). Fast texture transfer. IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications, Vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 38–43. DOI: 10.1109/MCG.2003.1210863.
- Lee, H., Seo, S., Ryoo, S., Yoon, K. (2010). Directional Texture Transfer. NPAR '10, Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Non-Photorealistic Animation and Rendering, pp. 43–48, ACM. DOI: 10.1145/1809939.180994.
- Donahue, J., Jia, Y., Vinyals, O., Hoffman, J., Zhang, N., Tzeng, E., Darrell. T. (2013). DeCAF: A Deep Convolutional Activation Feature for Generic Visual Recognition. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1310. 1531.
- Kummerer, M., Theis, L., Bethge, M. (2015). Deep Gaze I: Boosting Saliency Prediction with Feature Maps Trained on ImageNet. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. DOI: 10.48550/ arXiv.1411.1045.
- Cimpoi, M., Maji, S., Kokkinos, I., Vedaldi, A. (2015). Deep filter banks for texture recognition and segmentation. Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 3828–3836. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1507.02620.
- Gatys, L.A., Ecker, A.S., Bethge, M. (2015). Texture Synthesis Using Convolutional Neural Networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Vol. 28, pp. 3828–3836, ICLR Workshop. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1505. 07376.
- Güclü, U., Gerven, M.A.J.v. (2015). Deep Neural Networks Reveal a Gradient in the Complexity of Neural Representations across the Ventral Stream. The Journal of Neuroscience, Vol. 35, No. 27, pp. 10005–

10014. DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5023-14.2015.

- **13. Tschandl, P., Rosendahl, C., Kittler, H.** (2018). The HAM10000 dataset is a large collection of multi-source dermatoscopic images of common pigmented skin lesions. ArXiv. DOI: 10.1038/ sdata.2018.161.
- 14. Fitzpatrick, T.B. (1975). Soleil et peau. J Med Esthet. Vol. 2, pp. 33.
- **15. Quintini, J. (2021).** Digital Health Consultant Medical Affairs. Gerencia Médica. Data Science. Machine Learning. Inteligencia Artificial.
- 16. Wang, Z., Bovik, A.C., Sheikh, H.R., Simoncelli, E.P. (2004). Image quality assessment: From error visibility to structural similarity. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 600–612. DOI: 10.1109/TIP.2003.819861.
- Kullback, S., Leibler, R.A. (1951). On information and sufficiency. Annals of Mathematical Statistics. Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 79– 86.
- Wang, Z., Bovik, A.C. (2002). A universal image quality index. IEEE Signal Processing Letters, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 81–84. DOI: 10.1109/97.995823.
- 19. Di Biasi, L., De Marco, F., Auriemma Citarella, A., Barra, P. (2022). Hybrid approach for the design of cnns using genetic algorithms for melanoma classification. International Conference on Pattern Recognition. Springer Nature Switzerland. DOI: 10.1186/S12859-023-05516-5.
- Sánchez-Medel, N., Romero-Bautista, V., Díaz-Hernández, R., Altamirano-Robles, L. (2024). Dermatological imaging of skin lesions in different skin phototypes using style transfer. International Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems. DOI: 10.1109/ CBMS61543.2024.00098.

Article received on 17/06/2024; accepted on 15/08/2024. *Corresponding author is Leopoldo Altamirano-Robles.