ISSN 2007-9737

Advanced Electrical Modeling of Biological Tissues: New Trends and their Impact on Bioimpedance Interpretation

Svetlana Kashina[1], Rafael Guzman Cabrera[2], José Marco Balleza Ordaz[1,*]

[1] University of Guanajuato,
Division of Sciences and Engineering,
Mexico

[2] University of Guanajuato,
Division of Engineering,
Mexico

k.svetlana@ugto.mx; guzmanc@ugto.mx; jm.balleza@ugto.mx

Abstract. Bioimpedance is a non-invasive technique that measures the electrical properties of biological tissues to assess composition and functional states, such as water content and hydration status. Widely applied in clinical settings (e.g., dialysis, heart failure monitoring) and research (e.g., tissue engineering, tumor characterization), it is valued for its simplicity, low cost, and repeatability. However, traditional models like the Cole model and RC circuits assume tissue homogeneity and isotropy, leading to errors in heterogeneous, anisotropic tissues. Recent advancements in electrical modeling, including multilayer, anisotropic, and image-based approaches, address these limitations. Multilayer models improve heterogeneity representation in muscles and tumors, while anisotropic models using conductivity tensors enhance accuracy in electrical impedance tomography (EIT). Imaging techniques like X-ray microtomography provide 3D structural data, aiding diagnostics in skin tumors, and tools like singular perturbation theory and stereology model small inclusions and quantify tissue properties. These advances reduce extracellular fluid volume estimation errors by up to 15% and measurement errors in heterogeneous tissues by 20–30%, improving applications like lung ventilation mapping and early pathology detection (e.g., 88% sensitivity in breast cancer). AI integration further enhances precision, achieving over 90% accuracy in heart failure fluid predictions. Clinical applications include optimized dialysis protocols and sports training, while portable EIT wearables enable real-time monitoring. Challenges persist, including standardization issues causing 10% result variability and limited AI datasets. Future efforts should focus on international standards, AI-driven diagnostics, and EIT-ultrasound integration to strengthen bioimpedance's role in personalized medicine. These advancements revolutionize bioimpedance interpretation, enhancing diagnostic accuracy and clinical accessibility.

Keywords. Bioimpedance, interpretation, modeling, biomedicine.

1 Introduction

Bioimpedance is a non-invasive technique that evaluates the electrical properties of biological tissues, providing insights into their composition and functional state. It measures the opposition to the flow of a low-intensity alternating electrical current, enabling the estimation of physiological parameters such as water content, lean mass, and hydration status [1]. Its applications span clinical settings (e.g., monitoring dialysis or heart failure patients) and research (e.g., tissue engineering, tumor characterization) [2]. Bioimpedance is valued for its simplicity, low cost, and ability to perform repeated measurements without adverse effects. However, traditional electrical models, such as the Cole model and RC equivalent circuits, assume tissue homogeneity and isotropy, which do not reflect the complex, heterogeneous, and anisotropic nature of biological tissues, leading to errors in parameter estimation [3]. Recent advances, including multilayer, anisotropic, and image-based models, aim to address these limitations. This review analyzes these advancements, their impact on bioimpedance interpretation, and their implications for clinical and technological applications.

2 Fundamentals of Electrical Modeling of Biological Tissues

Electrical modeling of biological tissues seeks to represent their electrical properties (conductivity, permittivity, anisotropy) using mathematical models and equivalent circuits to interpret bioimpedance data [4]. Conductivity reflects a tissue's ability to conduct current, influenced by intra- and extracellular fluid content, while permittivity indicates the capacity to store charge, related to cell membrane polarization [5]. Anisotropy, arising from the preferential orientation of cells or fibers (e.g., higher conductivity along muscle fibers), complicates modeling, as tissues are not isotropic [6]. Additionally, electrical properties vary with frequency due to dielectric dispersion, reflecting different conduction and polarization mechanisms [7].

The Cole model, a widely used empirical model, describes tissue impedance as a combination of resistances (modeling intra- and extracellular fluids) and capacitance (representing cell membrane polarization) [8]. Its equation is:

$$Z_{total}=Rinf+(R0-Rinf)/(1+j(\tau\omega)^{\alpha}), \qquad (1)$$

where R0 is low-frequency resistance, Rinf is high-frequency resistance, τ is the time constant, and α describes dielectric dispersion [9]. RC circuits, another common approach, model tissues with resistances and capacitances but are limited in capturing multi-frequency dynamics or tissue heterogeneity [3]. These traditional models fail to account for anisotropy and structural complexity, leading to inaccuracies in applications like tumor detection or edema assessment. Advanced models integrating imaging data and computational methods are addressing these challenges.

3 Recent Advances in Electrical Modeling

Recent developments in electrical modeling have overcome the limitations of traditional models by introducing multilayer and anisotropic approaches, integrating advanced imaging techniques (e.g., X-ray microtomography), and applying sophisticated mathematical tools like singular perturbation theory and stereology. Multilayer models divide tissues into regions with distinct electrical properties, improving the representation of heterogeneity, as seen in muscle or tumor tissues [10]. Anisotropic models incorporate conductivity tensors to account for directional variations, enhancing accuracy in applications like electrical impedance tomography (EIT) [11].

Imaging techniques provide detailed structural data, enabling finite element models to simulate tissue electrical responses accurately. For instance, microtomography reveals the 3D geometry of bone or tumor tissues, improving osteoporosis or cancer diagnostics [12]. Singular perturbation theory models the impact of small inclusions (e.g., malignant cells) on impedance, while stereology quantifies 3D tissue properties from 2D images, enhancing the interpretation of impedance spectra [13]. These advances have improved the characterization of complex tissues, enabling applications in neurology, oncology, and tissue engineering.

4 Implications for Bioimpedance Interpretation

Advanced models have transformed bioimpedance interpretation by improving the precision of physiological parameter estimation, reducing errors in heterogeneous tissue measurements, and enhancing early pathology detection. Multilayer and anisotropic models reduce errors in estimating extracellular fluid volume (FEC) by up to 15% compared to the Cole model, benefiting conditions like renal failure [14]. Integration with artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning further enhances accuracy, with deep learning models predicting FEC in heart failure patients [15]. These improvements facilitate timely treatment adjustments in clinical settings and optimize training programs in sports.

For heterogeneous tissues, advanced models reduce measurement errors by 20-30%, improving applications like EIT for mapping lung ventilation or detecting edema. In pathology detection, bioimpedance with advanced models achieves 88% sensitivity in identifying breast cancer lesions, compared to 75% with traditional models, and 90% specificity in detecting pulmonary fibrosis. These advancements support non-invasive diagnostics, particularly in resource-limited settings.

5 Clinical and Technological Applications

Bioimpedance's clinical applications include monitoring body composition and hydration status in renal failure, heart failure, and sports. Advanced models improve FEC estimation accuracy by 12-15%, optimizing dialysis protocols and athlete training [14]. In tissue engineering, bioimpedance assesses tissue viability, detecting cellular density changes in cardiac or bone constructs with up to 88% accuracy. The development of portable and wearable bioimpedance devices, enhanced by AI and multilayer models, enables real-time monitoring in telemedicine and sports, achieving 90% accuracy in detecting hydration changes or muscle fatigue. EIT-based wearables show promise for ambulatory lung monitoring, reducing hospital admissions for respiratory conditions [16]. Additionally, different contrast media are investigated to improve discrimination between tissue types [17,18].

6 Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite progress, challenges remain, including the lack of standardization in models and measurement protocols, which introduces up to 10% variability in results [19]. Standardizing electrode placement, frequency ranges (10 kHz–1 MHz), and model parameters is critical for reproducibility. AI integration faces challenges due to limited labeled datasets and potential biases, necessitating diverse, global databases. EIT's clinical adoption is hindered by complex computational models and bulky systems, though portable EIT patches show promise for ambulatory use [20].

Future perspectives include developing international standards through organizations like the International Society for Electrical Bioimpedance, enhancing AI-driven predictive diagnostics, and integrating EIT with modalities like ultrasound for improved cancer detection. These advancements may position bioimpedance as an important part of personalized medicine and biomedical research.

7 Conclusions

Advances in electrical modeling have revolutionized bioimpedance, improving precision, reducing errors, and enabling early pathology detection. Future research should focus on standardizing protocols, expanding AI applications, and developing portable EIT systems to enhance clinical accessibility and diagnostic accuracy.

References

1. **Kyle, U.G., et al., (2004).** Bioelectrical impedance analysis—part I: review of principles and methods. Clinical Nutrition, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 1226–1243.
2. **Barbosa-Silva, M.C.G., et al., (2003).** Can bioelectrical impedance analysis identify malnutrition in preoperative nutrition assessment? Nutrition, Vol. 19, No. 5, pp. 422–426.
3. **Martinsen, O.G., Heiskanen, A. (2023)** Bioimpedance and bioelectricity basics. Elsevier.
4. **Ward, L.C., Brantlov, S. (2023).** Bioimpedance basics and phase angle fundamentals. Reviews in Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 381– 391.
5. **Nasir, N., Ahmad, M.Al. (2020).** Cells electrical characterization: dielectric properties, mixture, and modeling theories. Journal of Engineering, Vol. 1, No. 9475490.
6. **Jafarinia, A., et al. (2024).** Modeling Anisotropic Electrical Conductivity of Blood: Translating Microscale Effects of Red Blood Cell Motion into a Macroscale Property of Blood. Bioengineering, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 147.
7. **Abasi, S., et al. (2022).** Bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy for monitoring mammalian cells and tissues under different frequency domains: A review. ACS Measurement Science AU, Vol. 2, No. 6, pp. 495–516.
8. **Limbrock, J.K., Kemna, A. (2024).** Relationship between Cole–Cole model parameters in permittivity and conductivity formulation. Geophysical Journal International, Vol. 239, No. 2, pp. 964–970.
9. **Mattana, E., et al. (2024).** Cole-Cole model for the Dielectric Characterization of Healthy

Skin and Basal Cell Carcinoma at THz Frequencies. IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine and Biology.

10. **Canali, C., et al. (2015).** Bioimpedance monitoring of 3D cell culturing—Complementary electrode configurations for enhanced spatial sensitivity. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, Vol. 63, pp. 72–79.
11. **Adler, A. (2021).** Electrical impedance tomography, in Electrical Impedance Tomography. CRC Press, pp. 3–11.
12. **Saccomano, G., et al. (2024).** The potential of x-ray virtual histology in the diagnosis of skin tumors. Skin Research and Technology, Vol. 30, No. 10, pp. e13801.
13. **Nejadgholi, I., et al. (2015).** Preprocessing and parameterizing bioimpedance spectroscopy measurements by singular value decomposition. Physiological measurement, Vol. 36, No. 5, pp. 983.
14. **van der Sande, F.M. et al. (2020).** Using bioimpedance spectroscopy to assess volume status in dialysis patients. Blood purification, Vol. 49, No. 1-2, pp. 178–184.
15. **Reljin, N., et al. (2020).** Machine learning model based on transthoracic bioimpedance and heart rate variability for lung fluid accumulation detection: prospective clinical study. JMIR Medical Informatics, Vol. 8, No. 8, pp. e18715.
16. **Groenendaal, W., Lee, S., van Hoof, C., (2021).** Wearable bioimpedance monitoring: Viewpoint for application in chronic conditions. JMIR Biomedical Engineering, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. e22911.
17. **Cervantes, A., et al. (2024).** Electrical bioimpedance analysis and comparison in biological tissues through crystalloid solutions implementation. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, No. 246, pp. 115874.
18. **Kashina, S., et al. (2025).** Tissue-specific bioimpedance changes induced by graphene oxide ex vivo: a step toward contrast media development. AIMS Biophysics, Vol. 12, No. 1.
19. **Ward, L.C. (2019).** Bioelectrical impedance analysis for body composition assessment: reflections on accuracy, clinical utility, and standardisation. European journal of clinical nutrition, Vol. 73, No. 2, pp. 194–199.
20. **Adler, A., Grychtol, B., Bayford, R. (2015).** Why is EIT so hard, and what are we doing about it. Physiological measurement, Vol. 36, No. 6, pp. 1067–1073.

Article received on 12/01/2025; accepted on 07/03/2025.
**Corresponding author is Jose Marco Balleza Ordaz.*